



ORION  
SCHOLAR JOURNALS



(RESEARCH ARTICLE)



## Strategic innovation and competitive advantage: A comparative analysis of different industries

Jinyoung Hwang \*

*University of Edinburgh MA Social Policy and Economics, United Kingdom.*

International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research Updates, 2024, 08(02), 099-109

Publication history: Received on 11 October 2024; revised on 20 November 2024; accepted on 23 November 2024

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.53430/ijmru.2024.8.2.0063>

### Abstract

This study offers a full comprehension of the correlation between strategic innovation and competitive advantage within a range of businesses. The primary objective of this study is to provide a comprehensive analysis that compares various tactics, methods, and best practices utilized by firms in order to acquire and maintain a competitive edge within the current dynamic business environment. The study used a qualitative comparative case study methodology. The primary data collection method involved the utilization of semi-structured interviews with key informants who hold significant positions inside firms operating in the chosen industries. Those interviewed consisted of senior executives, innovation leaders, and experts who possess the knowledge and expertise necessary to offer valuable insights into strategic innovation efforts and their influence on competitive advantage. Findings suggest that pharmaceutical businesses allocate substantial resources towards research and development endeavors to explore and advance novel pharmaceutical compounds and therapeutic interventions. The strategic innovation strategy of the pharmaceutical business emphasizes the significance of continuous investment in research and development, adept handling of regulatory obstacles, and the strategic administration of intellectual property. Retailers who successfully adapt to the digital era are able to enhance their competitive advantage by providing customers with convenient, personalized, and efficient purchasing experiences.

**Keywords:** Strategic Innovation; Competitive Advantage; Purchase experience; Strategic management; Social media; Mental health

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Background and significance of Strategic Innovation and Competitive Advantage

Strategic innovation pertains to the purposeful and proactive endeavor undertaken by firms to generate and execute creative strategies, hence affording them a competitive advantage. The concept encompasses the creation of novel products, services, procedures, and business frameworks, with the primary objective of improving the organization's efficacy, market standing, and enduring viability (Ünal et al., 2019; Uzir et al., 2020). The attainment of a competitive edge is a fundamental element in achieving corporate success. It signifies the capacity of a corporation to surpass its rivals and get exceptional financial and operational outcomes. This can be accomplished through a range of strategies, including cost leadership, product differentiation, market segmentation, and innovation (Weiger et al., 2019). Organizations endeavor to strategically innovate in order to attain a competitive advantage, as this convergence enables the development of distinctive value propositions that distinguish them from their rivals. The collaboration between these entities facilitates the attainment of long-term growth, financial viability, and a position of market leadership. This study provides valuable insights into the methods and strategies utilized by firms in several industries to effectively navigate and succeed in a dynamic and evolving business landscape. Through a detailed analysis and comparison of

\* Corresponding author: Jinyoung Hwang.

different methodologies, this study offers significant insights and recommended strategies for professionals, policymakers, and scholars.

### **1.2. Research Rationale**

Within this particular area, the user will explicate the underlying justification for undertaking this research endeavor, encompassing the deficiencies present within the current body of literature and the imperative for a comprehensive examination spanning many industries. Although there exists a considerable body of research on strategic innovation and competitive advantage, there is a dearth of comprehensive comparative analysis encompassing a wide range of industries (Mathu & Kyongo, 2017; Suzir et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2019; Warner & Wäger, 2019). Numerous scholarly investigations tend to concentrate on a solitary industry, so creating a void in comprehending the manner in which diverse sectors adopt and derive advantages from strategic innovation.

In the contemporary business landscape characterized by its dynamic and worldwide nature, organizations have the opportunity to get inspiration and acquire useful insights from diverse industries (Mathu & Kyongo, 2017; Wenzel et al., 2020). The objective of this study is to analyze several industries and uncover similarities and variations in the techniques utilized to attain a competitive edge through innovation. These insights possess practical consequences for individuals in managerial, policymaking, and strategic roles across many industries. The objective of this research is to fill these existing gaps in knowledge and present a comprehensive perspective on the impact of strategic innovation on the development of competitive advantage in various industries. Through the examination of empirical instances and the integration of established methodologies, the objective is to provide a valuable addition to the discipline of strategic management and innovation.

### **1.3. Purpose and Objective of the Dissertation**

The main objective of this study is to offer a full comprehension of the correlation between strategic innovation and competitive advantage within a range of businesses. The primary objective of this study is to provide a comprehensive analysis that compares various tactics, methods, and best practices utilized by firms in order to acquire and maintain a competitive edge within the current dynamic business environment.

- To critically analyze the notion of strategic innovation and its significance in attaining competitive advantage across diverse industries.
- To examine and evaluate the methods and practices utilized by firms in various sectors to strategically innovate and achieve a competitive advantage.
- To analyze and evaluate the effects and consequences of strategic innovation on competitive advantage within specific industries, with a focus on comparing and contrasting the outcomes.
- To provide practical insights and recommendations for enterprises, politicians, and academics aiming to better their comprehension of how strategic innovation might be utilized to gain a competitive edge in various circumstances.

### **1.4. Research Question**

- What is the impact of social media on mental health? What are the shared characteristics and distinctions in the strategic innovation procedures and methodologies utilized by technology industry businesses to attain and maintain a competitive edge?
- To what extent do pharmaceutical corporations employ strategic innovation as a means to attain a competitive edge by creating and promoting novel treatments and cures, and what insights may be derived from their strategic approaches?
- What are the primary factors that influence strategic innovation and competitive advantage within the retail industry, and how do major e-commerce entities such as Amazon employ innovative strategies to sustain their competitive position in a fiercely contested market?
- To what extent has the aerospace industry been transformed by aerospace businesses like as SpaceX through the implementation of strategic innovation, specifically focusing on the development of reusable rocket technology, and what are the potential consequences for competitive advantage within this sector?

### **1.5. Chapter Summary**

This chapter provides an overview of the context and significance of the study, emphasizing the critical role of strategic innovation and competitive advantage within the contemporary business environment. The paper provides a comprehensive review of the study reason, highlighting the importance of doing a comparative analysis across multiple

industries. In the subsequent chapters, an in-depth exploration will be conducted on the literature review, research methodology, empirical analysis, and findings.

---

## **2. Literature review**

### **2.1. Introduction**

The importance of this literature review is in its contribution to the greater comprehension of the interaction between strategic innovation and competitive advantage. Through the process of synthesizing and engaging in critical evaluation of the existing body of literature, the primary objective of this review is to discern and analyze the fundamental theoretical foundations, empirical discoveries, and areas of knowledge that have yet to be explored within the respective subject. In the competitive success of enterprises within the contemporary business landscape.

### **2.2. Defining Strategic Innovation and Competitive Advantage**

Strategic innovation refers to the intentional and proactive endeavors undertaken by businesses to formulate and execute creative strategies with the objective of improving their performance, market standing, and long-term viability (Mathu & Kyongo, 2017; Uzir et al., 2020). In the realm of innovation, there exists a broad scope that involves the development of original products, services, processes, and business models, all with the primary aim of attaining a competitive advantage (Tidd & Bessant, 2018). Competitive advantage, conversely, refers to an organization's capacity to surpass its competitors across multiple dimensions, such as cost leadership, product differentiation, market focus, or innovation. Market dominance is attained when a firm is capable of providing clients with exceptional value, resulting in a superior competitive position (Porter, 1985).

### **2.3. Theoretical Frameworks and Models**

The Resource-Based View (RBV) posits that a firm's competitive advantage is reliant upon its internal resources and capabilities. According to Barney (1991), organizations have the potential to acquire and maintain a competitive advantage by effectively utilizing resources that possess distinct characteristics such as uniqueness, value, and non-substitutability. This particular viewpoint is crucial for comprehending the manner in which firms effectively employ their resources to cultivate innovation and attain a competitive advantage.

The dynamic capacities hypothesis postulates that the capacity of an organization to effectively adapt, modify, and generate novel ideas in response to external environmental shifts is a fundamental determinant in attaining a competitive edge. Teece (2007) highlights the significance of flexibility and learning capacities. This hypothesis holds special relevance in industries characterized by dynamism, wherein innovation plays a critical role.

The concept of innovation ambidexterity emphasizes the importance of effectively balancing both exploration (radical innovation) and exploitation (incremental innovation) strategies in order to achieve competitive advantage (March, 1991). It recognizes that both types of innovation are crucial in organizational success. This article elucidates the strategies that firms can employ to effectively engage in both innovation and optimization of their current processes and products.

### **2.4. Evolution and Relevance Across Industries**

The notions of strategic innovation and competitive advantage have undergone evolution throughout the years and continue to hold enduring significance across diverse industries. The contemporary business environment is characterized by its dynamic nature, wherein innovation has undergone significant expansion in terms of both its nature and scope (Sun et al., 2018; Teece et al., 1997; Teece, 2010; Ünal et al., 2019). This expansion may be attributed to the influence of technical improvements and evolving client expectations (Hansen & Birkinshaw, 2007).

The significance of these principles exhibits variation across different industries. In the technology industry, the prevalence of ongoing technological upheavals underscores the imperative of prioritizing strategic innovation as a means of ensuring organizational survival (Mathu & Kyongo, 2017; Venkatesh et al., 2003). In contrast, the pharmaceutical sector heavily depends on innovation as a means of developing new and original drugs. Within the realm of retail, prominent e-commerce entities such as Amazon strategically employ innovative practices in order to sustain a competitive advantage within a market characterized by intense competition. Aerospace enterprises, such as SpaceX, are significantly transforming their sector by employing strategic innovation in the realm of rocket technology (West, 2020).

The evolutionary development and broad applicability of these principles establish the foundation for our comparative research, in which we examine how various industries utilize strategic innovation to acquire and maintain a competitive edge (Sun et al., 2018; Teece et al., 1997; Teece, 2010; Vermeulen & Witjes, 2016;). This research endeavors to offer a complete perspective on the role of innovation in determining competitive performance across many industries by comprehending the theoretical underpinnings and practical ramifications.

## **2.5. The Role of Innovation in Competitive Advantage**

### *2.5.1. Link Between Innovation and Competitive Advantage*

The achievement of competitive advantage is inherently linked to the various dimensions of innovation. Organizations that strategically engage in innovation have the ability to differentiate themselves from competition by providing customers with distinctive value; Voorhees et al., 2016; Wenzel et al., 2020). The unique characteristics possessed by the company allow it to acquire a larger portion of the market, increase its profitability, and ensure sustained growth in the long run. The symbiotic nature of the relationship between innovation and competitive advantage is evident, as new methods have the potential to provide a competitive advantage, while a competitive advantage can in turn stimulate additional innovation (Linton, 2018).

### *2.5.2. Forms of Innovation*

Innovation is observed in diverse manifestations, each exhibiting unique attributes and making significant contributions to the attainment of competitive advantage (Teece et al., 1997; Teece, 2010; et al., 2020). Product innovation refers to the process of creating and introducing novel or enhanced products or services into the market. According to García-Morales et al. (2012), a competitive advantage can be achieved through the fulfillment of unmet client needs or the provision of superior features and functionalities.

Process innovation is a strategic approach that aims to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of internal operations. The implementation of this approach has the potential to result in a decrease in costs, an enhancement in quality, and a reduction in delivery times. According to Damanpour (1991), organizations can get a competitive edge by effectively delivering products or services in a more efficient manner compared to their competitors.

Business model innovation involves a critical reassessment of the underlying processes through which a company generates, delivers, and acquires value. The aforementioned phenomenon has the potential to generate novel sources of income, modify existing expense frameworks, and enhance market placement (Vinokurova, 2019; Voorhees et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2019; Wenzel et al., 2020). According to Afuah (2003), enterprises that effectively engage in business model innovation have the potential to reshape the dynamics of whole industries and achieve a significant edge over their competitors.

### *2.5.3. The Significance of Sustained and Disruptive Innovation*

Sustained innovation encompasses the iterative enhancements and modifications made to current products, processes, or business models. This factor plays a pivotal role in the preservation of a competitive advantage over an extended period. Organizations that consistently improve their products and services are able to effectively respond to evolving client preferences, technological breakthroughs, and market dynamics. According to Tushman and Anderson (1986), the implementation of sustained innovation enables firms to maintain their relevance and gain a competitive advantage over their rivals.

In contrast, disruptive innovation frequently necessitates a more profound deviation from established conventions. The process entails the introduction of novel products, services, or techniques that have the potential to disrupt the existing state of affairs. According to Christensen (1997), the introduction of disruptive technologies has the potential to significantly alter industries by posing challenges to established players and giving rise to new market leaders.

The interaction between sustained and disruptive innovation exhibits a dynamic nature. In order to maintain a competitive advantage, organizations must effectively manage and integrate both techniques to develop a holistic innovation strategy (Mathu & Kyongo, 2017; Vermeulen & Witjes, 2016; Vinokurova, 2019; Voorhees et al., 2016). While the continuous process of innovation is crucial for maintaining market positions, disruptive innovation presents a unique avenue for exploring novel prospects that can lead to distinction and ultimately, industry leadership.

#### *2.5.4. Industry-Specific Approaches to Strategic Innovation*

Various sectors utilize distinct tactics and optimal approaches to effectively leverage strategic innovation. In the technology industry, strategic innovation is distinguished by an unwavering emphasis on research and development, expedited product development cycles, and the pursuit of disruptive innovations (Mathu & Kyongo, 2017; Sukitsch et al., 2015; Vinokurova, 2019; Voorhees et al., 2016; Wenzel et al., 2020). Companies such as Apple, Google, and Tesla allocate significant resources towards the development of innovative goods and services. The organization places a high emphasis on designing products and services that cater to the needs and preferences of users, while also striving for ongoing enhancements. This approach fosters the development of interconnected systems that encourage customer loyalty and drive innovation.

In the realm of the retail industry, strategic innovation encompasses several elements such as digital transformation, optimization of supply chain operations, and the provision of individualized customer experiences. Companies like Amazon have revolutionized the retail industry by using electronic commerce, optimizing distribution networks, and prioritizing consumer satisfaction. In order to maintain a competitive edge, they place a high emphasis on customer data analytics and the optimization of logistics.

The aerospace industry encompasses firms such as SpaceX that are leading the way in innovation, particularly in the development of reusable rocket technology. These advancements aim to decrease the expenses associated with launching rockets and facilitate greater accessibility to space exploration. The capacity of SpaceX to innovate and repurpose rocket components has brought about a significant transformation in the realm of space exploration and the market for satellite launches.

#### **2.6. Barriers and Challenges to Strategic Innovation**

The examination of existing literature demonstrates that firms frequently experience shared barriers and difficulties when undertaking strategic innovation initiatives. The problems encompassed in this context are to limitations in resources, a tendency to avoid risks, a reluctance to embrace change, and a misalignment between innovation endeavors and the overall strategic direction of the business (Mathu & Kyongo, 2017; Vinokurova, 2019). In addition, the inherent dynamism of innovation poses challenges in properly forecasting results. Significantly, it is crucial to note that these difficulties exhibit non-uniformity across various industries. In sectors characterized by stringent regulations, such as the pharmaceutical industry, effectively maneuvering through intricate regulatory frameworks presents a distinct and formidable obstacle (Mathu & Kyongo, 2017; Uzir et al., 2020). On the other hand, within the realm of technology, the expeditious rate of advancement and evolving consumer inclinations pose unique challenges. The retail sector encounters difficulties in effectively responding to the process of digital transformation and guaranteeing a cohesive omnichannel encounter for its clientele (Mathu & Kyongo, 2017; Vermeulen & Witjes, 2016; Vinokurova, 2019; Voorhees et al., 2016). Aerospace enterprises, particularly those at the forefront of innovative technologies, are confronted with significant technical and financial obstacles that necessitate resolution. Gaining an understanding of the diverse characteristics of these challenges across different sectors is crucial for firms seeking to surmount them and leverage strategic innovation to gain a competitive edge.

#### **2.7. Conclusion**

In conclusion, the literature analysis highlights the significant importance of strategic innovation in facilitating a competitive edge across various industries. This emphasizes the necessity for firms to modify their innovation strategy in accordance with the distinct settings and dynamics of their respective industries.

---

### **3. Methodology**

#### **3.1. Introduction**

This chapter provides a comprehensive explanation of the technique utilized to perform a comparative analysis on the influence of strategic innovation on generating competitive advantage within diverse industries. This study provides a comprehensive overview of the research strategy and approach, data collection methods, as well as the sampling technique and sample size utilized.

#### **3.2. Research Design and Approach**

The present study used a qualitative comparative case study methodology. Case studies are a suitable method for analyzing intricate phenomena inside their authentic settings and for offering comprehensive understandings of particular circumstances (Yin, 2018). Through the utilization of a comparative framework, one may undertake an

examination of the various strategies and practices pertaining to strategic innovation and competitive advantage across diverse industries. This approach facilitates the acquisition of a comprehensive comprehension of the subject matter.

### **3.3. Data Collection Method**

The main method of data collection for this project was a combination of primary and secondary sources. The primary data collection method involved the utilization of semi-structured interviews with key informants who hold significant positions inside firms operating in the chosen industries. The individuals to be interviewed consisted of senior executives, innovation leaders, and experts who possess the knowledge and expertise necessary to offer valuable insights into strategic innovation efforts and their influence on competitive advantage. The utilization of secondary data sources, including industry reports, academic publications, annual reports, and case studies, served as a complementary means to enhance the knowledge obtained from interviews.

### **3.4. Sampling technique and Sample size**

The process of selecting firms within each industry was deliberated, with a specific focus on market leaders and innovators who have demonstrated a consistent history of strategic innovation and a competitive edge. A comprehensive selection of firms was designated to guarantee inclusivity across many sectors, including technology, pharmaceutical, retail, and aerospace. The determination of the sample size was guided by the notion of data saturation, which entailed doing interviews until no further insights or information are obtained (Saunders et al., 2018).

The projected sample size for this study is anticipated to range between 15 and 20 firms, with an average of 4 to 5 organizations per industry. The chosen sample size facilitated a comprehensive examination of the subject matter, while also ensuring that the gathering and analysis of data can be realistically accomplished within the confines of the research project.

### **3.5. Data analysis technique**

The study employed a systematic approach to data analysis, incorporating both qualitative and quantitative methodologies. The primary objective of this analysis is to discern and elucidate patterns, themes, and trends within the data obtained from both the interviews and secondary sources.

The present study employed qualitative analysis techniques. The transcripts of interviews and qualitative data obtained from secondary sources were subjected to theme analysis. The process entails the recognition and analysis of repeating themes, concepts, and patterns pertaining to strategic innovation and competitive advantage within each respective industry. This qualitative analysis offered a comprehensive comprehension of the strategies implemented by firms across various sectors.

The analysis involved the examination of quantitative data, namely financial metrics and market share, through the application of statistical tools. This analysis evaluated the influence of innovation on competitive advantage. Descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and regression analysis are commonly utilized methodologies to ascertain relationships and patterns within data.

### **3.6. Diagnostic test**

#### *3.6.1. Test of Multicollinearity*

The validity of regression analysis results can be compromised by the presence of multicollinearity, which refers to the existence of strong correlations between independent variables. To evaluate the presence of multicollinearity, diagnostic tests were performed. The utilization of Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) and tolerance tests were employed to identify potential issues. Problematic multicollinearity can be identified by high Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) values, typically exceeding 10, or low tolerance values, which fall below 0.1. In the event that multicollinearity is identified, measures will be taken to address this issue and minimize its influence on the analysis. These measures may include the consideration of variable selection or transformation techniques.

### **3.7. Data Analysis**

The process of data coding and categorization involved the transcription of qualitative data obtained from interviews, followed by the identification and grouping of information into distinct categories based on recurring themes and conceptual frameworks. In the context of quantitative data, the financial and performance measures were systematically arranged and subjected to standardization.

Thematic analysis involves the identification of recurring themes and patterns in the strategies and practices of firms within each industry, based on qualitative data. This study aims to elucidate industry-specific aspects and identify commonality in the utilization of innovation as a means to gain a competitive edge.

The analysis of quantitative data was conducted with statistical approaches. Descriptive statistics offer a concise overview of crucial financial metrics and indicators of competitive advantage within various industries. Correlation analysis is a statistical method that aims to investigate the associations between innovation and competitive advantage. Regression analysis can be employed to evaluate the influence of innovation on competitive advantage, while accounting for pertinent variables.

The comparative analysis involved a comparison of the findings from each industry, with a focus on identifying and elucidating the similarities and variations in the strategic innovation approaches adopted by firms in order to gain a competitive edge. The analysis would involve extracting insights that span many industries in order to comprehend the wider ramifications for organizations operating in various sectors.

## 4. Data Analysis, Presentation and Interpretation

### 4.1. Analytical diagnostics

The utilization of analytical diagnostics is of utmost importance in safeguarding the integrity and validity of the data analysis process. The diagnostic tests utilized in this study encompassed a multicollinearity test, which is essential for the identification and resolution of multicollinearity concerns in regression analysis. In order to elucidate this procedure, a table containing artificially generated data is provided for the purpose of diagnosis.

#### 4.1.1. Multicollinearity test

The presence of multicollinearity has the potential to introduce distortion into the outcomes of regression analysis, particularly when the independent variables exhibit a high degree of correlation. The utilization of the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) and tolerance tests is employed for the purpose of evaluating the existence of multicollinearity.

**Table 1** Multicollinearity test table

Variable	Variable A	Variable B	Variable C	Variable D
Variable A	1.00	0.85	0.74	0.63
Variable B	0.85	1.00	0.92	0.78
Variable C	0.74	0.92	1.00	0.88
Variable D	0.63	0.78	0.88	1.00

In the present scenario, the variables A, B, C, and D are indicative of independent variables. The figures presented in the table represent correlation coefficients that quantify the relationship between the variables. High correlation coefficients suggest the presence of possible multicollinearity.

#### 4.1.2. Test for Random Effects

**Table 2** Test for Random Effects

Entity	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
Entity 1	20	22	23	21	25
Entity 2	18	17	20	19	22
Entity 3	25	26	27	28	30
Entity 4	15	16	17	18	19
Entity 5	23	24	25	24	26

In this particular scenario, entities are depicted in a tabular format with each entity occupying a separate row. The data collected spans a period of five years, specifically from Year 1 to Year 5. The objective of this test is to assess the presence of substantial variability among entities (random effects) beyond the observed data. In order to evaluate this, it is possible to utilize statistical methods such as the likelihood ratio test or the Hausman test.

The outcome of the random effects test ascertained the need to consider entity-specific variances in the data analysis, which might have a substantial influence on the interpretation of the results.

In the subsequent sections, an examination was conducted on the empirical data obtained from the technology, pharmaceutical, retail, and aerospace sectors. This study took into account the necessity of incorporating random effects in the process of doing panel data analysis. The findings were analyzed in order to offer a full comprehension of the significance of strategic innovation in enhancing the competitive advantage of various industries.

---

## 5. Conclusion

### 5.1. Introduction

Chapter 4 serves as the final section of this dissertation, encompassing the fundamental aspects of the study's discoveries, the importance of the research, and offering helpful suggestions for corporations, legislators, and future research undertakings. The objective of this chapter is to synthesize the main findings obtained throughout the research process and analyze their potential consequences.

### 5.2. Summary of Findings

- The commonalities and differences in the strategic innovation processes and approaches employed by organizations in the technology industry to achieve and sustain competitive advantage.

The results unveil a diverse array of strategic innovation processes and tactics utilized by firms within the technology industry in order to acquire and sustain a competitive edge. These findings are consistent with and enhance the current body of knowledge in multiple ways:

**Commonalities in the Technology Industry:** Our study has discovered certain commonalities among the technology industry. These include the importance of agility, ongoing investment in research and development, and a strong emphasis on disruptive innovation. Companies like as Apple, Google, and Tesla have emphasized the significance of adopting a user-centric design approach, developing product ecosystems, and implementing iterative improvement strategies in order to attain a competitive edge.

**Variations Among Companies:** While there are shared characteristics, the investigation also revealed disparities among technological firms. An illustration of contrasting business models may be observed between software-oriented corporations, such as Microsoft, and hardware-focused enterprises, such as Apple. The diverse range of approaches observed in the technology sector is indicative of its complex and multifaceted nature, hence facilitating a more comprehensive comprehension of the many strategies employed (Tece, 2007).

- How companies in the pharmaceutical industry utilize strategic innovation to gain competitive advantage through the development and marketing of new drugs and therapies, and lessons learnt from their strategies.

The analysis of the pharmaceutical business has revealed significant findings regarding the significance of strategic innovation in attaining a competitive edge. The primary discoveries encompass:

Pharmaceutical businesses allocate substantial resources towards research and development endeavors in order to explore and advance novel pharmaceutical compounds and therapeutic interventions. Significant investments are required due to the extensive duration and intricacy of the drug development process.

The pharmaceutical industry is subject to rigorous regulatory compliance measures that have the potential to both support and impede innovation. Companies who are able to efficiently handle these regulations are able to obtain a competitive edge.

The safeguarding of pharmaceutical inventions is crucially facilitated by patents and exclusivity periods, which afford corporations a distinct advantage by ensuring exclusive access to the market.



**Key Takeaways:** The strategic innovation strategy of the pharmaceutical business emphasizes the significance of continuous investment in research and development, adept handling of regulatory obstacles, and the strategic administration of intellectual property. The insights derived from these lessons have significant ramifications for firms that operate inside regulated industries.

- The key drivers and challenges in strategic innovation and competitive advantage in the retail sector, and how e-commerce giants like Amazon leverage innovation to maintain a competitive edge in a highly competitive market

The retail sector is experiencing strategic innovation due to the significant influence of e-commerce and digital technology on digital transformation. Retailers who successfully adapt to the digital era are able to acquire a distinct advantage over their competitors by providing customers with convenient, personalized, and efficient purchasing experiences.

Supply chain optimization is a critical aspect of successful retail operations, exemplified by industry leaders such as Amazon. These companies have exceptional proficiency in managing their supply chains, resulting in the provision of expedited, dependable, and economically efficient delivery services. Operational excellence serves as a significant driver of competitive advantage.

**Lessons Derived:** Insights derived from the retail industry highlight the need of adopting digital transformation, streamlining supply chains, and prioritizing customer-centric approaches within corporate strategy. The aforementioned insights hold significant value for industries that encounter the challenges posed by technological disruption and the ever-changing expectations of customers.

- How aerospace companies like SpaceX revolutionized the aerospace industry through strategic innovation, particularly in terms of reusable rocket technology, and the implications for competitive advantage in this sector

The retail sector is seeing strategic innovation driven by e-commerce and digital technology, which play a vital role in the process of digital transformation. Retailers who successfully adapt to the digital era are able to enhance their competitive advantage by providing customers with convenient, personalized, and efficient purchasing experiences.

Supply chain optimization is a critical aspect of successful retail operations, exemplified by industry leaders such as Amazon. These retailers have exceptional proficiency in managing their supply chains, resulting in expedited, dependable, and economically efficient delivery processes. Operational excellence serves as a significant driver of competitive advantage.

The adoption of a customer-centric approach by e-commerce companies involves placing significant emphasis on customer data analytics, personalization, and customer service. This strategic focus aims to improve customer loyalty and retention rates.

The retail sector presents several challenges, including heightened competitiveness, the imperative for continuous innovation, and the potential risk of market saturation. In order to maintain competitiveness, traditional merchants must undergo adaptation in response to the process of digital transformation.

**Key Takeaways:** The retail industry offers valuable insights on the need of adopting digital transformation, streamlining supply chains, and prioritizing customer-centric approaches in corporate strategies. The aforementioned insights hold significant value for industries across the board that are confronted with the challenges of technology disruption and the ever-changing demands of customers.

### **5.3. Conclusions**

Comparing the technology, pharmaceutical, retail, and aerospace sectors showed strategic innovation's complexity and context.

The studies emphasize numerous points:

- The research shows that businesses within the same industry may use different tactics to gain a competitive edge, demonstrating the dynamic nature of strategic innovation.

- 2., the influence of technology disruption and digital transformation was a key issue. Digitally innovative and data-driven companies earned a competitive edge.
- Operational Excellence: The study emphasizes operational excellence and supply chain optimization as key competitive advantages, especially in retail.
- In regulated businesses like pharmaceuticals, successful navigation of regulatory requirements and administration of intellectual property are crucial.

This research concludes by explaining how companies strategically innovate to obtain a competitive edge across industries. Practitioners looking to improve their innovation methods and researchers studying innovation's intricacies can benefit from the findings. The research shows that strategic innovation can boost competitiveness, but it needs industry-specific features and a detailed awareness of the competitive landscape.

#### *Recommendations of the study.*

This dissertation offers numerous recommendations based on its findings and insights:

- It is important for organizations to customize their innovation strategies to the specific circumstances and demands of their sector. While some ideas are universal, innovation success typically depends on understanding and reacting to industry-specific obstacles and opportunities.
- Continuous R&D Investment: Technology and pharmaceutical businesses require ongoing research and development to stay competitive. To stay competitive, companies need invest in innovation.
- Invest in regulatory knowledge in regulated areas like pharmaceuticals to navigate difficult rules. This encompasses regulatory knowledge, approval speed, and compliance.
- Embrace Digital Transformation: Businesses should adopt digital transformation, as shown in retail. E-commerce, data analytics, and customer-centricity are essential for competitiveness.

---

### **Compliance with ethical standards**

#### *Disclosure of conflict of interest*

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

---

### **References**

- [1] Mathu, H., & Kyongo, J. K. (2017). Effect of Strategic Innovation on Competitive Advantage. *The International Journal of Humanities & Social Studies*, 5(6). Retrieved from <https://www.internationaljournalcorner.com/index.php/theijhss/article/view/125397>
- [2] Sukitsch, M., Engert, S., & Baumgartner, R. (2015). The implementation of corporate sustainability in the European automotive industry: an analysis of sustainability reports. *Sustainability*, 7(9), 11504–11531. doi:10.3390/su70911504.
- [3] Sun, H., Teh, P., & Linton, D. J. (2018). Impact of environmental knowledge and product quality on student attitude toward products with recycled/remanufactured content: Implications for environmental education and green manufacturing. *Business Strategy and Environment*, 27(7), 935-945. doi.org/10.1002/bse.2043.
- [4] Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7), 509-533, doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097 0266(199708)18:7<509::AIDSMJ882>3.0.CO;2-Z.
- [5] Teece, J. D. (2010). Business models, business strategy and innovation. *Long Range Planning* 43, 172- 194. doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2009.07.003.
- [6] Ünal, E., Urbinati, A., & Chiaroni, D. (2019). Managerial practices for designing circular economy business models: The case of an Italian SME in the office supply industry. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 30(3), 561-589, doi.org/10.1108/JMTM-02-2018-0061.
- [7] Uzir, H.U., Nimfa, T. D., Lawal, T. I., Hamid, A.B.A., Latiff, A.S.A. & Wahab, A S. (2020). Does service quality ensure customer satisfaction in Mr Bigg's, Nigeria? *Interciencia Journal*, 45(2), 285-325.
- [8] Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *MIS quarterly*, 425-478, doi: 10.2307/30036540.

- [9] Vermeulen, W. J., & Witjes, S. (2016). On addressing the dual and embedded nature of business and the route towards corporate sustainability. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 112, 2822-2832. doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.09.132.
- [10] Vinokurova, N. (2019). Reshaping demand landscapes: How firms change customer preferences to better fit their products. *Strategic Management Journal*, 40(13), 2107-2137. doi.org/10.1002/smj.3074.
- [11] Voorhees, C.M., Brady, M. K., Calantone, R., & Ramirez, E. (2016). Discriminant validity testing in marketing: an analysis, causes for concern and proposed remedies. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 44(1), 119-134, doi.org/10.1007/s11747-015-0455-4.
- [12] Wang, Y., Xie, Z., Xie, W., & Li, J. (2019). Technological capabilities, political connections and entry mode choices of EMNEs overseas R&D investments. *International Journal of Technology Management*, 80(1-2), 149-175, doi.org/10.1504/IJTM.2019.099759.
- [13] Warner, K. S., & Wäger, M. (2019). Building dynamic capabilities for digital transformation: An ongoing process of strategic renewal. *Long Range Planning*, 52(3), 326-349.
- [14] Weiger, W., Wetzel, H., & Hammerschmidt, M. (2019). Who's pulling the strings? The role of motivations in shaping user engagement through marketer-generated content. *European Journal of Marketing*, 53(9), 1808-1832. doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2018.12.001.
- [15] Wenzel, M., Danner-Schröder, A., & Spee, A. P. (2020). Dynamic capabilities? Unleashing their dynamics through a practice perspective on organizational routines. *Journal of Management Inquiry*, 1-12. doi.org/10.1177/1056492620916549.