ORION SCHOLAR JOURNALS

International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research Updates

Journal homepage: https://orionjournals.com/ijmru/

ISSN: 2783-0179 (Online)

(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Check for updates

Strategic tax planning for multinational corporations: Developing holistic approaches to achieve compliance and profit optimization

Abiola Oyeronke Akintobi [1, *], Ifeanyi Chukwunonso Okeke [2] and Olajumoke Bolatito Ajani [3]

[1] *Independent Researcher, Lagos, Nigeria.*
[2] *Standards Organization of Nigeria.*
[3] *Newcross Exploration and Production Limited, Nigeria.*

International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research Updates, 2023, 06(01), 025–032

Publication history: Received on 10 July 2023; revised on 18 September 2023; accepted on 22 September 2023

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.53430/ijmru.2023.6.1.0065

Abstract

Strategic tax planning has become increasingly critical for multinational corporations (MNCs) as they navigate a dynamic global tax environment. This paper explores the challenges and opportunities associated with tax compliance and profit optimization, focusing on the complex interplay of international tax laws, reputational risks, and regulatory audits. Key insights include the transformative impact of initiatives such as the OECD/G20 Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) framework, trends in digital taxation, and the implementation of global minimum tax rates. The paper emphasizes the importance of holistic approaches, including the integration of tax planning into business strategy, leveraging advanced technologies for tax optimization, fostering collaboration with stakeholders, and aligning tax practices with Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles. Recommendations are provided to help MNCs achieve sustainable compliance while maximizing profitability, ensuring their adaptability and success in an increasingly transparent and interconnected tax landscape.

Keywords: Strategic tax planning; Multinational corporations; BEPS initiatives; Digital taxation; ESG principles; Global minimum tax rates

1. Introduction

Strategic tax planning is a cornerstone of financial management for multinational corporations (MNCs), encompassing a range of activities aimed at legally minimizing tax liabilities while ensuring compliance with diverse international tax laws. MNCs operate across multiple jurisdictions, each with its own tax regimes, rates, and regulations. This complexity makes tax planning critical for achieving financial efficiency and preserving shareholder value (Mashiri, Dzomira, & Canicio, 2021). Unlike domestic firms, MNCs face unique challenges such as navigating transfer pricing rules, managing tax obligations in both host and home countries, and addressing the implications of cross-border transactions (Mgammal, 2020). Effective tax planning is no longer limited to reducing tax bills; it also involves aligning tax strategies with the organization's overall business goals, maintaining a robust reputation, and adhering to increasingly stringent global tax regulations. Consequently, strategic tax planning has evolved into a holistic approach that integrates compliance, risk management, and optimization to support sustainable corporate growth (Abigail, 2023).

The dual objectives of compliance and profit optimization form the crux of strategic tax planning. On one hand, compliance ensures that MNCs adhere to tax laws and regulations, avoiding penalties, audits, and reputational risks. On the other hand, profit optimization focuses on reducing tax liabilities to enhance net earnings and competitive advantage. Achieving this balance is crucial, as excessive focus on either aspect can result in significant drawbacks.

* Corresponding author: Abiola Oyeronke Akintobi

Overemphasizing compliance without leveraging legitimate tax planning opportunities may lead to higher tax costs, eroding profitability. Conversely, aggressive tax minimization strategies may trigger regulatory scrutiny and reputational harm, especially in today's heightened transparency and ethical accountability environment (Beebeejaun, Gunputh, & Rafay, 2023). The introduction of global initiatives like the OECD/G20 Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) project highlights the growing emphasis on curbing tax avoidance practices and promoting fair tax contributions. Thus, modern tax strategies must strike a fine balance, aligning corporate tax practices with evolving regulatory frameworks and societal expectations (Schmidt, 2019).

This paper aims to explore the development of holistic approaches to strategic tax planning for MNCs, emphasizing the integration of compliance and profit optimization. By examining the complexities of global tax environments and the innovative strategies employed by leading corporations, the paper seeks to provide actionable insights for navigating contemporary tax challenges.

The discussion is structured to address key areas critical to MNCs' tax planning efforts. First, the paper will identify the primary challenges associated with tax planning in multinational contexts, including regulatory complexity, reputational risks, and operational constraints. Next, it will delve into holistic approaches that enable corporations to integrate compliance with profit maximization, leveraging technology, stakeholder collaboration, and sustainable tax practices. The paper will also review global regulatory trends and their implications for MNCs, such as the OECD's BEPS framework and digital taxation policies. Finally, the paper will present conclusions and recommendations, offering strategic insights to help MNCs navigate tax challenges effectively. The aim is to equip corporate leaders, tax professionals, and policymakers with a nuanced understanding of developing and implementing tax strategies that align with organizational goals and global standards. This paper contributes to the broader discourse on sustainable and responsible tax planning in the globalized economy.

2. Key Challenges in Tax Planning for MNCs

2.1 Navigating Complex International Tax Laws and Regulations

Multinational corporations (MNCs) operate in a global landscape characterized by intricate and ever-evolving tax laws. Each jurisdiction imposes its tax policies, creating a web of regulations that can be difficult to reconcile. These laws often include varying corporate tax rates, withholding taxes, transfer pricing rules, and tax incentives, all of which must be carefully navigated. Furthermore, discrepancies between domestic tax codes and international agreements, such as tax treaties, exacerbate the complexity of compliance (Ernst & Haar, 2019). For instance, transfer pricing rules, which govern the pricing of goods and services exchanged between entities of the same corporation, are a critical area of concern. Improper transfer pricing can lead to double taxation, where income is taxed in multiple jurisdictions, or tax base erosion, where profits are shifted to low-tax jurisdictions. Both scenarios pose significant financial and regulatory risks to MNCs (Petricevic & Teece, 2019).

The increasing trend toward global tax harmonization, exemplified by initiatives such as the OECD/G20 Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) framework, aims to address these challenges. However, while these initiatives clarify certain issues, they also introduce additional layers of compliance requirements. For example, the BEPS Action Plan requires MNCs to provide detailed documentation on their transfer pricing practices, financial activities, and tax positions in multiple jurisdictions. Such requirements require significant resources, expertise, and coordination across an organization's global operations (Schön, 2021).

2.2 Risks of Tax Avoidance Accusations and Reputational Damage

Tax planning strategies that prioritize profit optimization often attract scrutiny from tax authorities, governments, and the public. Aggressive tax practices, such as profit shifting to tax havens or exploiting loopholes in tax laws, may be perceived as unethical, even if they are technically legal. In recent years, several high-profile cases have highlighted the reputational risks associated with such strategies, leading to public backlash, legal challenges, and loss of customer trust (Cooper & Nguyen, 2020). For example, tech giants and multinational retailers have faced criticism for their use of tax havens to reduce their overall tax burden. The resulting reputational damage has pressured these corporations to adopt more transparent and socially responsible tax practices. Additionally, governments are increasingly enacting anti-avoidance measures, such as General Anti-Avoidance Rules (GAAR) and Controlled Foreign Corporation (CFC) rules, to curb aggressive tax strategies (Mugarura, 2018).

Reputational damage is particularly harmful in today's environment, where corporate social responsibility (CSR) and environmental, social, and governance (ESG) considerations significantly shape public perception. Stakeholders, including investors, customers, and regulators, expect MNCs to contribute fairly to the economies in which they operate. Consequently, corporations must carefully balance their tax planning strategies to avoid accusations of tax avoidance while demonstrating their commitment to ethical business practices (I. C. Okeke, Agu, Ejike, Ewim, & Komolafe, 2022).

2.3 Managing Tax Disputes and Audits Across Jurisdictions

Tax disputes and audits are an inevitable aspect of operating as an MNC, given the complexity of international tax laws and the growing vigilance of tax authorities. These disputes often arise from disagreements over transfer pricing, allocation of profits, or eligibility for tax incentives. Managing such disputes is particularly challenging when they involve multiple jurisdictions with conflicting interpretations of tax laws (Alston & Reisch, 2019). For example, disputes over permanent establishment (PE) status frequently occur when tax authorities claim that an MNC's operations in their jurisdiction constitute a taxable presence. Resolving such disputes requires navigating lengthy and costly legal processes, which can disrupt business operations and strain resources. Moreover, inconsistencies in dispute resolution mechanisms between jurisdictions complicate the process, as some countries rely on bilateral treaties while others enforce unilateral measures (Karwowski & Raulinajtys-Grzybek, 2021).

Audits present another significant challenge for MNCs. Tax authorities increasingly use advanced analytics and digital tools to identify discrepancies in corporate tax filings. In addition to traditional audit triggers, such as sudden changes in reported income or expenses, authorities are now scrutinizing MNCs' global operations for signs of base erosion or profit shifting. Implementing country-by-country reporting (CbCR) under the BEPS framework has further increased transparency and heightened the risk of audits (Strauss, Fawcett, & Schutte, 2020). To mitigate these risks, MNCs must invest in robust tax governance frameworks, ensuring that their tax strategies are compliant but also well-documented and defensible. Collaboration with experienced legal and tax advisors and the use of technology to enhance tax data management is essential for effectively navigating audits and disputes.

3. Holistic Approaches to Strategic Tax Planning

3.1 Integrating Tax Compliance into Business Strategy

In today's highly regulated global economy, tax compliance is no longer a standalone function but a core component of an organization's overall business strategy. This integration is essential for multinational corporations (MNCs) to achieve operational efficiency and regulatory adherence. By embedding tax compliance into strategic decision-making processes, corporations can proactively address tax risks while aligning their fiscal responsibilities with broader business objectives.

One critical aspect of this approach is fostering collaboration between tax departments and other business units, such as finance, operations, and legal teams. This collaboration ensures that tax implications are considered during key decisions, such as market entry, supply chain restructuring, and mergers or acquisitions. For instance, when entering new markets, MNCs must assess the local tax environment to determine the feasibility of operations, evaluate potential tax incentives, and ensure compliance with local regulations (Prichard, Custers, Dom, Davenport, & Roscitt, 2019).

Additionally, integrating tax compliance into business strategy requires a forward-looking perspective. Tax departments must stay informed about regulatory changes and anticipate their impact on operations. This includes monitoring global initiatives like the OECD's Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) framework and the implementation of global minimum tax rules. By proactively adapting to these changes, MNCs can mitigate risks and leverage opportunities to enhance their tax positions (I. Okeke, Agu, Ejike, Ewim, & Komolafe, 2023).

3.2 Leveraging Technology and Data Analytics for Tax Optimization

Technology and data analytics are transforming the way MNCs approach tax planning, offering powerful tools to optimize processes, enhance compliance, and improve decision-making. Advanced tax technologies enable organizations to automate routine tasks, such as data collection, tax return preparation, and compliance monitoring, reducing the risk of errors and freeing up resources for strategic initiatives.

Data analytics plays a crucial role in identifying tax-saving opportunities and managing risks. By analyzing large datasets, MNCs can gain insights into their global tax positions, uncover inefficiencies, and optimize their tax strategies. For example, predictive analytics can help corporations model the tax implications of different scenarios, such as supply chain reconfigurations or changes in transfer pricing policies.

Moreover, technology facilitates real-time reporting and compliance. Tools such as enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems and tax compliance software ensure accurate and timely submission of tax documents across multiple jurisdictions. In the era of digital taxation, where governments are increasingly adopting electronic filing and data-sharing mechanisms, leveraging technology is vital for staying compliant (Faccia & Petratos, 2021).

Blockchain is another emerging technology with significant potential in tax planning. Its ability to create secure, immutable records can enhance transparency and trust in tax reporting. For instance, blockchain can validate the authenticity of transactions in real time, reducing the likelihood of disputes with tax authorities (Mazur, 2022).

3.3 Collaborating with Stakeholders

Effective tax planning requires collaboration with diverse stakeholders, including governments, tax authorities, advisory firms, and internal teams. By fostering transparent relationships with these stakeholders, MNCs can ensure compliance, reduce disputes, and build a reputation for ethical tax practices.

Engaging with tax authorities proactively can help MNCs address potential issues before they escalate into disputes. Many jurisdictions now offer advance pricing agreements (APAs), which allow corporations to negotiate transfer pricing arrangements with tax authorities in advance. Such agreements provide certainty and reduce the risk of audits (Owens & Pemberton, 2021).

Collaboration with advisory firms and consultants also plays a crucial role in navigating complex tax environments. These experts bring specialized knowledge and experience, helping MNCs identify opportunities for tax savings, optimize their global tax strategies, and ensure compliance with local regulations.

Internally, fostering a culture of collaboration between departments is equally important. Tax teams must work closely with finance, operations, and human resources to align strategies and share critical information. For instance, during supply chain decisions, input from the tax department can help identify potential tax savings and compliance risks associated with cross-border transactions (Holbeche, 2022).

3.4 Sustainable Tax Planning Practices in the Context of ESG Principles

As Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) considerations gain prominence, sustainable tax planning has become a key priority for MNCs. Stakeholders, including investors, customers, and regulators, increasingly expect corporations to adopt responsible tax practices that contributing to societal well-being.

Sustainable tax planning involves more than just complying with laws—it requires corporations to approach taxation as part of their broader ESG commitments. For instance, paying taxes where economic value is created demonstrates a corporation's commitment to social responsibility and fair contribution to public finances. This approach aligns with the global push for greater transparency in tax reporting, as seen in initiatives like country-by-country reporting under the OECD BEPS framework.

Incorporating ESG principles into tax planning also involves avoiding aggressive tax strategies that exploit loopholes or shift profits to tax havens. Such practices risk reputational damage and conflict with the growing emphasis on ethical governance. Instead, MNCs are encouraged to adopt transparent policies reflecting their long-term commitment to sustainability. Furthermore, tax strategies can support environmental goals by leveraging tax incentives for green initiatives. Many governments offer credits, deductions, and exemptions for investments in renewable energy, energy-efficient technologies, and other sustainable practices. By taking advantage of these incentives, MNCs can reduce their tax liabilities while contributing to environmental sustainability (Krieg & Li, 2021).

4. Global Trends and Regulatory Developments

4.1 Impact of OECD/G20 Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) Initiatives

The OECD/G20 Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) initiatives represent a transformative shift in international tax policy, targeting tax avoidance strategies that exploit gaps and mismatches in global tax rules. These initiatives aim to ensure that multinational corporations (MNCs) pay taxes where their economic activities generate profits, rather than shifting them to low-tax jurisdictions (Mosquera Valderrama, Lesage, & Lips, 2018).

BEPS Action Plans, such as the requirement for country-by-country (CbC) reporting, have significantly increased transparency in the global tax landscape. MNCs must now provide detailed financial and tax-related data for each jurisdiction they operate. This transparency enables tax authorities to identify discrepancies and enforce tax compliance more effectively (Sawyer & Sadiq, 2019).

Another key aspect of BEPS is addressing harmful tax practices, such as preferential tax regimes. For example, BEPS Action 5 focuses on countering the misuse of intellectual property (IP) boxes and other incentives encouraging profit shifting. MNCs must increasingly demonstrate substantial economic activity within jurisdictions offering tax benefits, aligning tax outcomes with genuine business operations (Oguttu, 2020).

Additionally, the Pillar One and Pillar Two frameworks under BEPS aim to modernize global tax rules in response to digitalization. Pillar One reallocates taxing rights to market jurisdictions where consumers are located, while Pillar Two establishes a global minimum tax rate to prevent profit shifting to tax havens. These initiatives reshape tax planning strategies, compelling MNCs to reevaluate their structures and adopt compliant practices (Avi-Yonah, Kim, & Sam, 2022).

4.2 Trends in Digital Taxation and Their Implications for MNCs

The digital economy has presented unique challenges for international tax systems, prompting the emergence of digital taxation as a critical trend. Traditional tax frameworks, designed for brick-and-mortar businesses often fail to capture value creation in the digital age, where companies can operate remotely and generate significant revenues without a physical presence.

Several countries have introduced digital services taxes (DSTs) to address this issue. These taxes target revenues from digital services like online advertising, e-commerce platforms, and cloud computing. While DSTs provide governments with a means to tax digital activity, they have also raised concerns about double taxation and compliance burdens for MNCs operating across multiple jurisdictions.

The OECD's Pillar One framework seeks to harmonize digital taxation by reallocating taxing rights to market jurisdictions. This initiative is particularly significant for large digital companies, which may face new tax obligations in countries with substantial consumer bases. For MNCs, this represents both a challenge and an opportunity. On the one hand, they must adapt to increased tax liabilities in multiple markets. Conversely, a coordinated global approach can reduce uncertainty and streamline compliance (Ovonji-Odida, Grondona, & Chowdhary, 2022).

Moreover, digital taxation highlights the growing importance of data in tax administration. Many tax authorities leverage advanced technologies to monitor digital transactions and enforce compliance. MNCs must adopt robust data management systems to meet these requirements, ensuring accurate reporting and minimizing risks of disputes.

4.3 Key Developments in Regional Tax Treaties and Global Minimum Tax Rates

Regional tax treaties and global minimum tax rates are reshaping the international tax environment, promoting cooperation and consistency across jurisdictions. Bilateral and multilateral tax treaties play a vital role in preventing double taxation, facilitating cross-border trade, and providing mechanisms for dispute resolution. Recent developments in these treaties reflect a shift toward greater transparency and fairness in tax systems (Hearson, Ndubai, & Randriamanalina, 2020).

One notable trend is the inclusion of anti-abuse clauses in tax treaties. These clauses, often inspired by BEPS Action 6, aim to prevent treaty shopping, where MNCs exploit treaty benefits by routing income through jurisdictions with favorable agreements. For example, the Principal Purpose Test (PPT) requires taxpayers to demonstrate that obtaining treaty benefits is not the primary purpose of a transaction (Riccardi, 2021).

The introduction of global minimum tax rates under the OECD's Pillar Two framework is another significant development. This initiative establishes a minimum effective tax rate of 15% for large MNCs, ensuring that profits are taxed reasonably regardless of where they are reported. The global minimum tax reduces incentives for profit shifting to low-tax jurisdictions, promoting a more equitable distribution of tax revenues (Kurian, 2022). For MNCs, these developments necessitate a re-evaluation of existing structures and strategies. Tax planning now requires a deeper understanding of regional variations in tax treaties and how they interact with global initiatives. Compliance with the global minimum tax also demands accurate calculation and reporting of effective tax rates across jurisdictions.

4.4 Implications for Strategic Tax Planning

The evolving global tax landscape presents both challenges and opportunities for MNCs. On the one hand, increased transparency and coordination among tax authorities heighten compliance demands and reduce flexibility in tax planning. On the other hand, consistent rules and global frameworks create a more level playing field, enabling MNCs to operate with greater predictability.

MNCs must adopt proactive and adaptive tax planning strategies to navigate these changes. Staying informed about global trends and regulatory developments is essential for maintaining compliance and minimizing risks. Leveraging technology and data analytics can enhance the accuracy and efficiency of tax reporting, while collaboration with stakeholders, such as advisory firms and tax authorities, can provide valuable insights and support. Moreover, MNCs should align their tax strategies with broader business objectives, incorporating considerations such as ESG principles and reputational impacts. Responsible tax practices reduce risks, strengthen relationships with stakeholders, and contribute to long-term success (Ponomareva, 2022).

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

Strategic tax planning for multinational corporations (MNCs) is a complex endeavor shaped by an evolving global tax landscape. Key challenges include navigating intricate international tax laws, mitigating risks of tax avoidance accusations, and managing cross-jurisdictional audits and disputes. MNCs must contend with increasing scrutiny from tax authorities and heightened demands for transparency, driven largely by initiatives such as the OECD/G20 Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) framework and the push for digital taxation.

Holistic approaches to tax planning emphasize the integration of compliance into overall business strategies. Leveraging technology, such as data analytics and artificial intelligence, has become a cornerstone of tax optimization efforts, enabling better decision-making and improved reporting accuracy. Collaboration with stakeholders—including governments, tax authorities, and advisory firms—fosters compliance and enhances adaptability to regulatory changes. Additionally, sustainable tax practices rooted in Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles highlight the growing importance of responsible tax behavior as part of corporate social responsibility.

Global trends, such as the adoption of digital services taxes and the implementation of global minimum tax rates, further reinforce the need for MNCs to adopt adaptive strategies. While posing challenges, these developments also create opportunities for businesses to align their tax practices with international norms, thereby reducing uncertainty and reputational risks.

To address the challenges and opportunities identified, MNCs should prioritize the following strategies:

- Tax compliance should no longer be treated as a standalone function but integrated into the broader business strategy. By aligning tax planning with operational and financial objectives, MNCs can meet compliance requirements without compromising profitability. This approach enhances decision-making and minimizes the risk of inadvertent non-compliance.
- Investing in advanced technologies like data analytics, machine learning, and blockchain can significantly improve tax reporting accuracy and efficiency. These tools enable real-time monitoring of tax liabilities, early detection of compliance risks, and streamlined communication with tax authorities.
- MNCs must remain updated on global tax trends and regulatory changes, including BEPS initiatives, digital taxation, and regional tax treaties. This proactive approach helps businesses anticipate changes and adapt their strategies accordingly, avoiding costly penalties or disputes.
- Building strong relationships with tax authorities, advisory firms, and industry stakeholders is essential. Collaborative engagement ensures that MNCs can seek guidance, resolve disputes amicably, and influence future policy developments to balance compliance with business needs.
- Responsible tax planning aligned with ESG principles enhances compliance and strengthens corporate reputation. MNCs should aim for transparency in tax practices, disclosing relevant information to stakeholders and avoiding aggressive tax strategies that may be perceived as exploitative or unethical.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Abigail, E. C. (2023). Unlocking Economic Growth Through Taxation in the case Nigeria. Journal of Business and Economic Options, 6(4), 21-27.

[2] Alston, P., & Reisch, N. (2019). Tax, inequality, and human rights: Oxford University Press.

[3] Avi-Yonah, R., Kim, Y. R., & Sam, K. (2022). A new framework for digital taxation. Harv. Int'l LJ, 63, 279.

[4] Beebeejaun, A., Gunputh, R. P., & Rafay, A. (2023). Base erosion and profit shifting (BEPS) in international taxation system: the case of Mauritius in the light of OECD/G20 initiatives. In Concepts, Cases, and Regulations in Financial Fraud and Corruption (pp. 259-277): IGI Global.

[5] Cooper, M., & Nguyen, Q. T. (2020). Multinational enterprises and corporate tax planning: A review of literature and suggestions for a future research agenda. International Business Review, 29(3), 101692.

[6] Ernst, R., & Haar, J. (2019). Globalization, competitiveness, and governability: The three disruptive forces of business in the 21st century: Springer.

[7] Faccia, A., & Petratos, P. (2021). Blockchain, enterprise resource planning (ERP) and accounting information systems (AIS): Research on e-procurement and system integration. Applied Sciences, 11(15), 6792.

[8] Hearson, M., Ndubai, J., & Randriamanalina, T. (2020). The appropriateness of international tax norms to developing country contexts. FACTI Background Paper No, 3.

[9] Holbeche, L. (2022). Aligning human resources and business strategy: Routledge.

[10] Karwowski, M., & Raulinajtys-Grzybek, M. (2021). The application of corporate social responsibility (CSR) actions for mitigation of environmental, social, corporate governance (ESG) and reputational risk in integrated reports. Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management, 28(4), 1270-1284.

[11] Krieg, K. S., & Li, J. (2021). A review of corporate social responsibility and reputational costs in the tax avoidance literature. Accounting Perspectives, 20(4), 477-542.

[12] Kurian, A. (2022). The evolution of international tax regime and the OECD Two-Pillar solution: Analysis from a developing country perspective. Journal of Economic Issues, 1(1), 61-71.

[13] Mashiri, E., Dzomira, S., & Canicio, D. (2021). Transfer pricing auditing and tax forestalling by Multinational Corporations: A game theoretic approach. Cogent Business & Management, 8(1), 1907012.

[14] Mazur, O. (2022). Can Blockchain Revolutionize Tax Administration? Penn St. L. Rev., 127, 115.

[15] Mgammal, M. H. (2020). Corporate tax planning and corporate tax disclosure. Meditari Accountancy Research, 28(2), 327-364.

[16] Mosquera Valderrama, I. J., Lesage, D., & Lips, W. (2018). Tax and development: The link between international taxation, the base erosion profit shifting project and the 2030 sustainable development agenda. UNU-CRIS Working Paper Series, 34.

[17] Mugarura, N. (2018). Can “harmonization” antidote tax avoidance and other financial crimes globally? Journal of Financial Crime, 25(1), 187-209.

[18] Oguttu, A. W. (2020). Curtailing BEPS through Enforcing Corporate Transparency: The Challenges of Implementing Country-by-Country Reporting in Developing Countries and the Case for Making Public Country-by-Country Reporting Mandatory. World Tax J., 167.

[19] Okeke, I., Agu, E., Ejike, O., Ewim, C., & Komolafe, M. (2023). A policy model for regulating and standardizing financial advisory services in Nigeria’s capital markets. International Journal of Frontline Research and Reviews, 1(04), 040-056.

[20] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P.-M., & Komolafe, M. O. (2022). A conceptual model for financial advisory standardization: Bridging the financial literacy gap in Nigeria. International Journal of Frontline Research in Science and Technology, 1(02), 038-052.

[21] Ovonji-Odida, I., Grondona, V., & Chowdhary, A. M. (2022). Two Pillar solution for taxing the digitalized economy: Policy implications and guidance for the Global South. Retrieved from

[22] Owens, J., & Pemberton, J. L. (2021). Cooperative Compliance: A multi-stakeholder and sustainable approach to taxation: Kluwer Law International BV.

[23] Petricevic, O., & Teece, D. J. (2019). The structural reshaping of globalization: Implications for strategic sectors, profiting from innovation, and the multinational enterprise. Journal of International Business Studies, 50, 1487-1512.

[24] Ponomareva, K. (2022). Tax challenges arising from the digitalisation of the economy: The development of the OECD project and possible implementation in Russia. BRICS Law Journal, 9(4), 41-63.

[25] Prichard, W., Custers, A. L., Dom, R., Davenport, S. R., & Roscitt, M. A. (2019). Innovations in tax compliance: Conceptual framework. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper(9032).

[26] Riccardi, A. (2021). Implementing a (global?) minimum corporate income tax: an assessment of the so-called “Pillar Two” from the perspective of developing countries. Nordic journal on Law and Society, 4(1), 1-38.

[27] Sawyer, A. J., & Sadiq, K. (2019). Country-by-country tax reporting: A critical analysis of enhanced regulatory requirements for multinational corporations.

[28] Schmidt, P. K. (2019). Taxation of Controlled Foreign Companies in Context of the OECD/G20 Project on Base Erosion and Profit Shifting as well as the EU Proposal for the Anti-Tax Avoidance Directive-An Interim Nordic Assessment: SSRN.

[29] Schön, W. (2021). Is there finally an international tax system?

[30] Strauss, H., Fawcett, T., & Schutte, D. (2020). Tax risk assessment and assurance reform in response to the digitalised economy. Journal of Telecommunications and the Digital Economy, 8(4), 96-126.