International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research Updates

Journal homepage: https://orionjournals.com/ijmru/

ISSN: 2783-0179 (Online)

(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Check for updates

Impact of global disruptions on energy supply chains: Case studies and future outlook

Mojisola Abimbola Adeyinka [1,*], Bolarinwa Solanke [2], Femi Bamidele Onita [3] and Mercy Odochi Agho [4]

[1] *Independent Researcher, Nigeria.*
[2] *The Shell Petroleum Development Company, Port Harcourt, Nigeria.*
[3] *Shell (Den Haag, Netherlands).*
[4] *Independent Researcher, Nebraska, USA.*

International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research Updates, 2022, 04(01), 016–034

Publication history: Received on 11 October 2022; revised on 25 November 2022; accepted on 28 November 2022

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.53430/ijmru.2022.4.1.0058

Abstract

The energy supply chain is a critical component of global infrastructure, connecting resource extraction, production, and distribution systems to meet the ever-growing demand for energy. However, global disruptions, including geopolitical conflicts, pandemics, and extreme weather events, have significantly impacted the stability and efficiency of energy supply chains. This study examines the effects of these disruptions through case studies and provides insights into strategies for enhancing resilience and adaptability in the energy sector. Key disruptions analyzed include the COVID-19 pandemic, which caused unprecedented logistical bottlenecks, and the Russia-Ukraine conflict, which highlighted vulnerabilities in energy dependency and supply diversification. Additionally, climate-related events such as hurricanes and floods have strained infrastructure and disrupted operations. The study identifies recurring themes, such as the need for diversification of supply sources, investment in renewable energy, and the implementation of advanced digital technologies for risk management. A major focus is placed on the role of digital transformation in mitigating disruption impacts. Tools like blockchain, artificial intelligence (AI), and the Internet of Things (IoT) are shown to enhance real-time tracking, predictive maintenance, and decision-making. Policy frameworks and international collaboration also emerge as critical factors in addressing global disruptions, particularly for regions heavily reliant on energy imports. The findings highlight the importance of proactive strategies, such as building robust contingency plans, fostering regional cooperation, and accelerating the transition to renewable energy systems. Case studies of countries and companies that successfully navigated recent disruptions underscore the effectiveness of these approaches. Looking ahead, the study outlines a future outlook where energy supply chains leverage emerging technologies, prioritize sustainability, and integrate geopolitical risk assessments into operational planning. These measures will be essential for ensuring energy security and resilience in an increasingly uncertain global environment.

Keywords: Energy Supply Chains; Global Disruptions; Resilience; Digital Transformation; Renewable Energy; Geopolitical Conflicts; Climate Change; Sustainability; Supply Diversification; Risk Management.

1 Introduction

Energy supply chains are critical to the functioning of global infrastructure, providing the essential resources that power industries, households, and economies across the world. These supply chains are vast and complex, involving the extraction, production, refinement, and distribution of energy resources such as oil, natural gas, coal, and increasingly, renewable energy sources (Anvari, etal., 2016, Gill, et al., 2019, Wirth, 2014). The efficient operation of these supply chains is fundamental to economic stability, social welfare, and national security (Oyedokun, 2019). However, energy supply chains are vulnerable to a variety of global disruptions, including geopolitical tensions, natural disasters,

* Corresponding author: Mojisola Abimbola Adeyinka.

pandemics, technological failures, and shifting market dynamics. These disruptions can cause delays, shortages, price fluctuations, and long-term disruptions to energy availability, with far-reaching consequences for the global economy.

Global disruptions have become increasingly significant for the energy sector as they challenge the resilience and efficiency of established supply chain structures. Geopolitical instability, such as conflicts in oil-producing regions, trade disputes, and changes in international policy, can lead to unpredictable fluctuations in energy prices and supply. Similarly, natural disasters and climate-related events can damage infrastructure, disrupt transportation networks, and lead to resource scarcity (Centobelli, Cerchione & Esposito, 2018, Veers, et al., 2019). The COVID-19 pandemic further exposed the vulnerabilities of global energy supply chains, as it caused sudden shifts in demand, labor shortages, and supply bottlenecks. These disruptions highlight the need for energy companies and governments to build more resilient, adaptive, and sustainable supply chain models to navigate such challenges in the future.

The purpose of this study is to examine the impact of global disruptions on energy supply chains, with a focus on identifying specific case studies that demonstrate the vulnerability of the sector to external shocks (Armstrong, et al., 2016, Glover, et al., 2014, Varsei, et al., 2014). By analyzing these disruptions, the study will provide valuable insights into how the energy sector can better prepare for and respond to future challenges. In doing so, the study aims to outline strategies for enhancing the resilience of energy supply chains, ensuring that they remain robust, adaptable, and capable of meeting the world's energy needs even in the face of global instability (Ahmad, et al., 2022, Okeke, et al., 2022). Through this analysis, the study will offer a forward-looking perspective on the future of energy supply chains and the steps that can be taken to safeguard against future disruptions.

2 Types of Global Disruptions Affecting Energy Supply Chains

Global disruptions have increasingly impacted energy supply chains, with far-reaching consequences for energy production, distribution, and pricing. These disruptions can take a variety of forms, each having its own unique set of challenges for energy systems around the world. Geopolitical disruptions, pandemics, climate-related natural disasters, and economic volatility are some of the key forces shaping the current and future landscape of energy supply chains (Oyeniran, et al., 2022). Each of these disruptions not only threatens the immediate flow of energy but also raises long-term concerns about the resilience and sustainability of energy infrastructure and resources. Understanding the types of global disruptions affecting energy supply chains is crucial for developing strategies that enhance resilience, improve forecasting, and ensure stability in the energy sector (Eskandarpour, et al., 2015, Gouda & Saranga, 2018, Vargas, et al., 2018).

Geopolitical disruptions have long been a significant factor affecting global energy supply chains. Political conflicts, trade wars, and international sanctions can disrupt the production, transportation, and pricing of energy resources, leading to supply shortages and price volatility. A notable example of this is the ongoing Russia-Ukraine conflict, which has had a profound impact on global energy markets (Geels, 2014, Good, Ellis & Mancarella, 2017, Tseng, et al., 2019). The conflict has disrupted oil and natural gas supplies, particularly to Europe, which relies heavily on Russian energy imports. The imposition of sanctions on Russia, alongside retaliatory actions, has further complicated energy flows, creating significant supply bottlenecks and driving up prices. As countries and companies seek to diversify their energy sources in response to geopolitical instability, the risk of further disruptions to energy supply chains becomes more pronounced. This disruption also underscores the need for energy security policies that reduce dependency on politically unstable regions and foster more resilient, diversified global energy networks.

Pandemics and public health crises, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, are another category of global disruption that has had a profound impact on energy supply chains. The pandemic led to widespread disruptions in energy production, transportation, and labor forces. As governments imposed lockdowns and travel restrictions, demand for energy fluctuated dramatically (Diabat, Kannan & Mathiyazhagan, 2014, Habib, et al., 2021, Tran-Dang & Kim, 2021). With industries shutting down, transportation networks disrupted, and social distancing protocols in place, the global energy sector saw a significant decline in demand for oil and gas, while the demand for electricity surged in residential areas. This sudden shift in energy consumption patterns created an imbalance in supply and demand, causing price instability (Igogo, 2022). Moreover, the pandemic disrupted supply chains at every level, from production facilities to transportation hubs. Many oil and gas companies were forced to reduce production due to low demand and restrictions on workforce movement. Similarly, the pandemic-induced labor shortages created challenges in maintenance and operational functions, further exacerbating supply chain disruptions. Additionally, energy projects were delayed or canceled, and global shipping and logistical operations faced backlogs, affecting the timely delivery of resources and infrastructure.

Climate change and natural disasters represent another category of global disruption that has increasingly affected energy supply chains. Extreme weather events, including hurricanes, floods, wildfires, and droughts, can cause significant damage to energy infrastructure, disrupt supply chains, and reduce energy production. For example, in 2020, Hurricane Laura devastated large parts of the Gulf Coast in the United States, causing widespread damage to oil refineries, natural gas pipelines, and other critical energy infrastructure (Bag, et al., 2020, Haddud, et al., 2017, Taghikhah, Voinov & Shukla, 2019). Similarly, severe flooding in regions like Southeast Asia and Europe has led to the destruction of energy facilities, transportation routes, and power plants, disrupting both local and global energy supply chains. Beyond the immediate damage caused by such events, climate-related disruptions also pose a long-term risk by threatening to undermine the stability of energy networks. Energy companies are being forced to adapt to the growing frequency and severity of natural disasters, incorporating climate resilience into their supply chain strategies. This includes redesigning infrastructure to withstand extreme weather and investing in backup systems that can maintain energy flows in times of disaster. With the accelerating pace of climate change, these risks are likely to increase, making it essential for energy companies to address the vulnerabilities of their supply chains to natural disruptions.

Economic and market volatility is another significant factor influencing energy supply chains. Fluctuations in energy prices, shifts in supply and demand, and economic recessions can have a direct and immediate impact on the stability of energy markets. Price fluctuations, for example, can disrupt long-term planning and investment in energy production (Cantarero, 2020, Hall, Foxon & Bolton, 2016, Strielkowski, et al., 2021). In times of price volatility, energy companies may struggle to maintain profitability, leading to cutbacks in production, investment, and maintenance. Conversely, when prices spike due to geopolitical instability or natural disasters, energy consumers face higher costs, leading to inflationary pressures and reduced demand (Javaid, et al., 2022). Additionally, economic recessions can lead to reduced industrial activity and lower energy demand, creating imbalances in the energy market. For example, during the 2008 global financial crisis, global energy demand plummeted, causing a steep decline in oil prices. While lower prices are generally beneficial to consumers, they can also discourage investment in exploration and production, which can create long-term supply constraints once demand rebounds. Similarly, periods of economic expansion can lead to a surge in energy demand, further straining supply chains and driving up prices. Navigating market volatility requires energy companies to adopt strategies that are both flexible and adaptive, allowing them to respond to fluctuations in demand, pricing, and economic conditions.

These types of global disruptions are interconnected and often compound one another, making the energy sector particularly vulnerable to cascading impacts. For instance, a geopolitical conflict can disrupt supply chains and affect global markets, which may exacerbate the effects of a pandemic or natural disaster (Fontes & Freires, 2018, Hartmann, Inkpen & Ramaswamy, 2021, Sovacool, Axsen & Sorrell, 2018). Similarly, economic volatility can heighten geopolitical tensions, leading to a complex web of disruptions that ripple through global energy networks. As these disruptions continue to escalate, the need for greater resilience, adaptability, and sustainability in energy supply chains becomes more urgent. Energy companies, governments, and international organizations must work together to create systems and strategies that minimize the risks associated with these disruptions while ensuring that energy supply chains remain robust, efficient, and secure.

In conclusion, global disruptions are an ongoing challenge for the energy sector, affecting the stability and sustainability of energy supply chains. Geopolitical conflicts, pandemics, climate-related disasters, and economic volatility all pose significant risks to the global energy infrastructure, disrupting production, transportation, and consumption (Bauwens, Gotchev & Holstenkamp, 2016, Hassan & Mhmood, 2021, Sodhi & Tang, 2018). As the world faces an increasingly uncertain future, it is essential for the energy industry to invest in strategies that enhance resilience and adaptability, ensuring that supply chains are capable of weathering future disruptions.

2.1 Case Studies of Recent Global Disruptions

The energy sector has faced significant challenges in recent years due to various global disruptions. From the COVID-19 pandemic to geopolitical conflicts such as the Russia-Ukraine war, and increasingly severe climate-related events, these disruptions have highlighted the vulnerabilities and fragility of energy supply chains (Okeke, et al., 2022, Yu, et al., 2014). Understanding the impact of these disruptions through case studies can provide valuable insights into the strategies that have been employed to navigate these challenges and build resilience for future crises.

The COVID-19 pandemic presented one of the most severe disruptions to the global energy supply chain in modern history. As nations around the world implemented lockdowns and restrictions to curb the spread of the virus, energy demand fluctuated dramatically. In the early stages of the pandemic, the world saw a sharp decline in energy consumption, particularly in oil and gas markets (Coyle & Simmons, 2014, Hepburn, et al., 2021, Silvestre, 2015). With industries shuttered, transportation reduced, and travel restrictions in place, global oil demand dropped by an

unprecedented 20%. The resulting oversupply of crude oil caused prices to plummet, with West Texas Intermediate (WTI) oil futures briefly turning negative in April 2020. This market crash created severe financial strain on oil companies, leading to layoffs, delayed projects, and reduced exploration and production activities.

At the same time, logistical bottlenecks became a major issue as global supply chains struggled to adapt to the new realities. Transportation routes, particularly those involving shipping and air freight, were disrupted, leading to delays in the delivery of critical energy components, including machinery, spare parts, and fuel supplies (Esmaeilian, et al., 2020, Hoang, et al., 2021, Shrivastava, 2018). This disruption also created shortages in specific regions, as local supply chains were unable to meet the needs of industries that were still operating. For example, the U.S. experienced significant delays in the transportation of liquefied natural gas (LNG) exports, which impacted its energy export ambitions.

The industry's response to the COVID-19 pandemic involved significant adaptive strategies. Many energy companies adjusted their operations to ensure worker safety, implementing remote work protocols, and enhancing their health and safety measures on-site. Some companies, particularly those in oil and gas, sought to restructure their business models to cope with demand fluctuations, focusing on cost-cutting measures and streamlining operations (Bazilian, Nakhooda & Van de Graaf, 2014, Hosenuzzaman, et al., 2015, Shivashankar, et al., 2016). Additionally, the pandemic underscored the importance of digital transformation, as companies accelerated the adoption of automation, artificial intelligence, and predictive analytics to manage their supply chains more efficiently. Governments also played a key role in stabilizing the energy market by offering financial relief, including subsidies and support for energy production, particularly for renewable energy initiatives.

Another major global disruption that has had a profound impact on energy supply chains is the Russia-Ukraine conflict. The war, which began in 2022, has caused significant disruptions to global energy markets, particularly with regard to oil, gas, and coal supplies. Russia is one of the world's largest producers and exporters of oil and natural gas, and Europe, which has relied heavily on Russian energy imports, was particularly vulnerable when the conflict escalated (Elum & Momodu, 2017, Huntington, 2018, Sharma, Adhikary & Borah, 2020). The conflict resulted in the imposition of sanctions on Russian energy exports by the European Union and the United States, causing a major shift in global energy flows. In response, Russia sought alternative markets, notably in China and India, while Europe scrambled to find alternative sources of energy.

One of the key vulnerabilities exposed by the Russia-Ukraine conflict is Europe's heavy reliance on Russian natural gas, which led to severe energy shortages and a significant rise in energy prices. As the war intensified, European governments faced the dual challenge of ensuring energy security while complying with sanctions and reducing their reliance on Russian energy (Ghobakhloo & Fathi, 2021, Ibn-Mohammed, et al., 2021, Seyfang, et al., 2014). In response, many countries within the EU accelerated efforts to diversify their energy sources, focusing on increasing imports of LNG from other regions, such as the U.S. and Qatar (Zakeri, et al., 2022). Additionally, Europe pushed for a faster transition to renewable energy, particularly wind and solar power, to reduce dependence on fossil fuel imports. Countries like Germany and France invested heavily in expanding their renewable energy infrastructure, while others turned to energy efficiency measures to mitigate the impact of higher energy costs on their economies.

The geopolitical risks posed by the Russia-Ukraine conflict underscored the importance of energy independence and diversification. As the war continues, countries around the world are increasingly looking to secure their energy supply chains through diversification, investing in alternative energy sources, and strengthening energy security policies (Adeniran, et al., 2022). The conflict has also spurred discussions about the future of global energy markets, including the potential for greater cooperation between energy-producing nations, as well as the need for a global shift toward more sustainable and resilient energy systems.

Climate change and extreme weather events are another major driver of disruption to energy supply chains. The growing frequency and intensity of natural disasters such as hurricanes, floods, and wildfires have exposed the vulnerabilities of energy infrastructure. One of the most notable examples is Hurricane Katrina, which devastated the Gulf Coast of the U.S. in 2005, causing widespread damage to oil refineries, natural gas facilities, and power lines (Okeke, et al., 2022). More recently, in 2020, Hurricane Laura caused significant damage to energy infrastructure in Louisiana and Texas, disrupting oil and gas production, refining, and distribution in the region. These extreme weather events not only caused immediate disruptions to the energy supply but also had long-term consequences for the resilience of energy systems.

In addition to hurricanes, severe flooding has also caused significant damage to energy infrastructure. For example, in 2021, catastrophic flooding in Europe, particularly in Germany and Belgium, caused widespread damage to power

plants, electricity grids, and pipelines. Similarly, flooding in parts of Southeast Asia has disrupted oil and gas production, while wildfires in California and Australia have damaged solar and wind energy infrastructure, disrupting renewable energy production (Belhadi, et al., 2021, Jiang, Van Fan & Klemeš, 2021, Scholz, et al., 2018).

In response to these climate-related disruptions, energy companies have been focusing on resilience-building initiatives to better withstand extreme weather events. These include retrofitting existing infrastructure to make it more robust, developing more flexible and adaptable energy systems, and investing in renewable energy sources that are less susceptible to damage from natural disasters. For instance, offshore wind farms are increasingly seen as a viable alternative to traditional energy infrastructure, as they are less prone to disruption from extreme weather events (Ebrahim, Inderwildi & King, 2014, Kohlhepp, et al., 2019, Saberi, et al., 2019). Furthermore, energy storage systems, such as batteries, are being integrated into power grids to ensure continuity of supply during periods of high demand or when energy production is disrupted.

Governments have also played an important role in mitigating the impacts of climate change on energy supply chains. Many have introduced policies aimed at enhancing the resilience of energy infrastructure, including investment in green energy technologies, the development of more efficient power grids, and the promotion of climate adaptation strategies (Berka & Creamer, 2018, Koirala, et al., 2016, Robert, Sisodia & Gopalan, 2018). The focus is on creating a more diversified energy mix that is less vulnerable to the impacts of extreme weather, while also reducing the sector's carbon footprint.

In conclusion, recent global disruptions have exposed significant vulnerabilities in the energy supply chain. The COVID-19 pandemic, the Russia-Ukraine conflict, and climate change-related extreme weather events have each had profound impacts on energy production, transportation, and pricing. The responses to these disruptions have highlighted the need for energy companies and governments to invest in resilience-building strategies, diversify their energy sources, and transition to more sustainable energy systems. As the world continues to face uncertainty and change, the lessons learned from these disruptions will play a crucial role in shaping the future of global energy supply chains.

2.2 Impact of Disruptions on Energy Supply Chain Components

Global disruptions have had profound effects on the various components of energy supply chains, impacting everything from resource extraction and production to the transportation, distribution, and storage of energy. These disruptions, whether caused by geopolitical conflicts, pandemics, natural disasters, or market volatility, have exposed vulnerabilities within the energy sector and highlighted the need for greater resilience and flexibility in energy systems (Adeniran, et al., 2022). Examining the impacts on different energy supply chain components provides insights into how the industry can adapt and build stronger, more reliable systems in the face of future disruptions.

Resource extraction and production are among the most critical components of the energy supply chain, and disruptions to these activities can have cascading effects on the entire system. Mining, drilling, and refining operations, which are essential for producing raw energy materials such as oil, natural gas, coal, and minerals required for renewable energy technologies, are highly susceptible to global disruptions. The COVID-19 pandemic, for example, led to widespread shutdowns of mining and drilling operations, particularly in countries that were hit hardest by the virus (Okeke, et al., 2022). These shutdowns not only reduced the supply of energy resources but also caused significant delays in the production of materials needed for the energy transition, such as critical minerals for solar panels, wind turbines, and electric vehicle batteries. In some cases, these disruptions led to price spikes and shortages of key materials, making it harder for energy companies to meet global demand (Cambero & Sowlati, 2014, Kouhizadeh, Saberi & Sarkis, 2021, Rissman, et al., 2020).

Geopolitical disruptions, such as the Russia-Ukraine conflict, have further exacerbated vulnerabilities in resource extraction and production. Russia is one of the world's largest producers and exporters of natural gas and oil, and the war has significantly impacted energy supplies in Europe. Sanctions imposed on Russian energy exports have led to a reduction in global supply, while Russia has sought to redirect its energy exports to other countries (Adewusi, Chiekezie & Eyo-Udo, 2022). This shift in supply chains has affected production rates, particularly in the oil and gas sector, as companies scrambled to find alternative sources of energy. In addition, the conflict has made it difficult to secure new exploration and development projects in conflict-prone areas, further straining the global energy supply.

Natural disasters, such as hurricanes, floods, and wildfires, have also had a profound impact on resource extraction and production. The oil and gas sectors in the Gulf of Mexico, for instance, have faced severe disruptions during hurricane seasons, which damage drilling platforms, refineries, and pipelines. Such natural disasters cause both immediate and long-term production losses, as it can take weeks or even months to repair damaged infrastructure (Bessa, et al., 2019,

Kumar, 2020, Richter & Holz, 2015, Wong, et al., 2020). The disruption in production not only affects local economies but also contributes to price volatility in global energy markets. In response, energy companies have made efforts to improve the resilience of their operations by investing in more robust infrastructure and disaster preparedness plans, although the unpredictable nature of extreme weather events continues to pose challenges.

The transportation and distribution of energy are critical to ensuring that energy reaches consumers in a timely and efficient manner, and disruptions to these systems can cause significant delays and supply shortages (Okeke, et al., 2022). Bottlenecks in logistics, such as those seen during the COVID-19 pandemic, have highlighted the fragility of global energy supply chains. For example, the pandemic created massive disruptions in shipping routes, with ports experiencing delays and congestion as the demand for goods and resources skyrocketed. This affected the transportation of oil, gas, and coal, leading to supply delays and price increases in affected regions (Bhardwaj, 2016, Grubb, Hourcade & Neuhoff, 2014, Rajeev, et al., 2017). In addition, restrictions on international travel and the reduced capacity of freight systems compounded the issue, making it harder to distribute energy products efficiently.

The Russia-Ukraine conflict has also had significant repercussions for energy transportation and distribution. The war disrupted key pipelines that transport natural gas from Russia to Europe, forcing European countries to seek alternative routes for energy supplies (Gielen, et al., 2019, Kwak, Seo & Mason, 2018, Quaschning, 2019). This, in turn, has led to increased competition for available transportation capacity, raising prices and creating logistical challenges (Efunniyi, et al., 2022). The conflict also caused a sharp rise in transportation costs, as the closure of key pipeline routes made it necessary to transport energy supplies via alternative methods, such as liquefied natural gas (LNG) shipments, which require specialized infrastructure and significant investment. The disruption of energy transportation networks in Eastern Europe has further emphasized the need for countries to diversify their energy imports and reduce dependence on single-source suppliers.

Extreme weather events have also posed significant challenges to energy transportation and distribution. For example, during the 2020 Atlantic hurricane season, several oil refineries and pipelines in the U.S. Gulf Coast were forced to shut down due to the impact of hurricanes. The damage caused by these storms interrupted the transportation of oil and gas, further disrupting the supply chain and leading to significant supply shortages (Garcia & You, 2015, Gui & MacGill, 2018, Pryor, et al., 2020). Similarly, flooding caused by heavy rains has damaged transportation infrastructure, including roads and railways, disrupting the movement of energy resources.

Energy storage and infrastructure are also vulnerable to disruptions, as grid reliability, storage systems, and renewable energy facilities are integral to ensuring a stable and consistent energy supply. Power grids are highly susceptible to disruption from extreme weather events, which can cause widespread blackouts, particularly in regions that rely on outdated or vulnerable infrastructure (Bello, et al., 2022). The impact of hurricanes, wildfires, and floods on power grids has led to massive disruptions, affecting millions of people. For example, in 2017, Hurricane Maria caused catastrophic damage to Puerto Rico's power grid, leaving the entire island without electricity for months. Similarly, wildfires in California have repeatedly damaged power lines and transmission infrastructure, leading to outages and safety concerns (Bogdanov, et al., 2021, Laari, Töyli & Ojala, 2017, Ponnaganti, Pillai & Bak-Jensen, 2018).

The increase in extreme weather events, exacerbated by climate change, has raised concerns about the ability of energy infrastructure to withstand future disruptions. Power grid operators are being forced to invest heavily in resilience measures, including the installation of underground power lines, better disaster planning, and the development of microgrids that can operate independently of the main grid in the event of a failure (Adewusi, Chiekezie & Eyo-Udo, 2022, Okeke, et al., 2022). Additionally, there is growing interest in decentralized energy systems, such as solar panels and batteries, which can provide backup power during grid failures and reduce the reliance on centralized infrastructure. However, the transition to these systems is complex and requires substantial investment in new technologies and infrastructure.

The growth of renewable energy sources has also introduced new challenges for energy storage and infrastructure. Unlike traditional energy sources, renewable energy production, such as solar and wind, is intermittent, meaning that power generation can vary depending on weather conditions and time of day (El-Katiri, Fattouh & Mallinson, 2014, Piercy & Rich, 2015). This intermittency has put pressure on energy storage systems, which need to be able to store excess energy produced during peak periods for use during periods of low production (Agupugo, et al., 2022). The development of large-scale energy storage systems, such as advanced batteries, is essential to ensure the stability of renewable energy supply chains. However, the high cost of these technologies, as well as challenges related to scalability and efficiency, have slowed their adoption.

The impact of global disruptions on energy storage and infrastructure has led to a growing recognition of the need to modernize and diversify energy systems. Governments and energy companies are increasingly focusing on the development of resilient energy infrastructure that can withstand disruptions, while also promoting the integration of renewable energy sources and energy storage systems (Gil-Ozoudeh, et al., 2022). As global disruptions become more frequent and severe, the energy sector must continue to adapt and innovate to ensure a reliable, sustainable, and resilient energy supply chain.

In conclusion, disruptions to resource extraction and production, transportation and distribution, and energy storage and infrastructure have had significant impacts on global energy supply chains. Geopolitical conflicts, natural disasters, pandemics, and other global events have exposed vulnerabilities in these components, highlighting the need for resilience-building measures and diversification (Bolton & Foxon, 2015, Lacity, Willcocks & Craig, 2015, Philbeck & Davis, 2018). To prepare for future disruptions, energy companies and governments must continue to invest in robust infrastructure, modernize power grids, and promote the development of renewable energy and energy storage systems. By addressing these challenges, the energy sector can better ensure the reliability and sustainability of its supply chains in the face of an increasingly uncertain global landscape.

2.3 Strategies for Enhancing Resilience and Adaptability

The global energy sector is increasingly vulnerable to disruptions caused by geopolitical conflicts, pandemics, natural disasters, economic volatility, and climate change. These events have exposed the fragility of energy supply chains, which are often complex, global, and dependent on various interconnected systems (Gašević, Dawson & Siemens, 2015, Lee, Hampton & Jeyacheya, 2015, Papadis & Tsatsaronis, 2020). In response, energy companies and governments have recognized the need to develop strategies that enhance resilience and adaptability, ensuring that energy supply chains remain robust in the face of ongoing global uncertainties. A combination of digital transformation, diversification of supply sources, building contingency plans, and fostering regional cooperation are critical components of these strategies.

Digital transformation plays a key role in enhancing the resilience and adaptability of energy supply chains. By leveraging advanced technologies such as blockchain, artificial intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), and predictive analytics, energy companies can improve their risk management processes and gain greater visibility into potential disruptions. Blockchain technology, for example, offers enhanced transparency and security in transactions, helping to streamline supply chain operations and ensure that energy resources are tracked and verified at every step of the journey (Gil-Ozoudeh, et al., 2022, Okeke, et al., 2022). This can reduce the risk of fraud, theft, and other security concerns that can arise in the supply chain, especially during periods of heightened geopolitical tensions.

AI and machine learning enable predictive analytics, which can be used to anticipate disruptions before they occur. For example, AI models can analyze vast amounts of historical data to forecast potential supply chain bottlenecks, production delays, or price fluctuations, enabling energy companies to adjust their strategies proactively (Fernando & Yahya, 2015, Lee, Hancock & Hu, 2014, Pan, et al., 2015). IoT devices, which connect physical assets such as pipelines, storage tanks, and power plants to digital networks, allow for real-time monitoring of infrastructure conditions (Iwuanyanwu, et al., 2022). This can be crucial for identifying potential maintenance needs, detecting early signs of failure, and preventing costly breakdowns that could disrupt the supply chain. By using these digital tools, energy companies can build more responsive and flexible supply chains that can quickly adapt to unexpected changes.

Diversification of supply sources is another essential strategy for enhancing resilience. Relying on a single supplier or energy source exposes companies and governments to significant risks, especially in a volatile geopolitical landscape. For instance, the Russia-Ukraine conflict has shown how dependence on a single country for energy resources, such as natural gas, can leave nations vulnerable to supply interruptions (Adewusi, Chiekezie & Eyo-Udo, 2022). To address this, many energy companies and governments are investing in a broader range of renewable and alternative energy sources, including solar, wind, geothermal, and hydropower. Renewable energy technologies, such as offshore wind farms and solar power plants, can provide a more stable and diversified energy supply, reducing dependence on fossil fuels and minimizing the impact of supply disruptions.

Moreover, the adoption of alternative energy sources like hydrogen, bioenergy, and nuclear power can further contribute to a more resilient energy supply. Hydrogen, for instance, can be used as a clean energy carrier, providing a means of storing excess renewable energy for use during periods of high demand or low production (Agupugo, et al., 2022). Similarly, bioenergy, which includes biofuels and biomass, can help diversify the energy mix, especially in regions with limited access to traditional fossil fuels. By diversifying the sources of energy production, companies and

governments can ensure a more flexible and resilient energy supply that can withstand disruptions caused by natural disasters, political conflicts, or changes in global market conditions.

Building contingency plans is crucial for improving the adaptability of energy supply chains. These plans should focus on identifying potential risks and developing strategies to mitigate their impact on energy production and distribution (Chin, Tat & Sulaiman, 2015, Li, et al., 2021, Pampanelli, Found & Bernardes, 2014). Risk mitigation strategies could include stockpiling critical resources, ensuring backup energy generation capacity, or implementing alternative transportation routes in the event of disruptions to traditional shipping lanes (Agu, et al., 2022). For example, during the COVID-19 pandemic, many energy companies turned to digital technologies to maintain operations while minimizing physical contact and travel. This included the adoption of remote monitoring and control systems, which allowed operators to manage power plants and other facilities without being physically present.

Infrastructure upgrades are also a vital component of contingency planning. Energy infrastructure, including power grids, pipelines, and storage facilities, is often aging and in need of modernization to withstand the growing frequency and intensity of extreme weather events. For instance, in the aftermath of hurricanes or floods, many energy companies have been forced to repair or replace damaged infrastructure (Bourlakis, et al., 2014, Lokuwaduge & Heenetigala, 2017, Oláh, et al., 2020). Investing in more resilient infrastructure, such as underground power lines, flood-resistant facilities, and advanced storage systems, can help minimize the impact of disruptions and improve the long-term reliability of the energy supply chain.

Regional cooperation and policy frameworks are also crucial for ensuring energy security and enhancing resilience. The global nature of energy supply chains means that disruptions in one region can have far-reaching effects on other regions. As a result, countries must collaborate and share resources to build more resilient energy systems (Dilyard, Zhao & You, 2021, MacCarthy, et al., 2016, O'Rourke, 2014). Regional energy cooperation, such as the establishment of joint energy projects, shared infrastructure, and mutual energy reserves, can help countries reduce their vulnerability to supply chain disruptions (Agupugo & Tochukwu, 2021, Okeke, et al., 2022). For example, the European Union has implemented a number of initiatives to promote energy security and reduce reliance on external energy sources, such as the European Energy Union. This initiative aims to create a more integrated and resilient energy market by coordinating policies and investments across member states.

Policy frameworks are also essential in guiding the development of resilient energy systems. Governments play a central role in establishing regulations that encourage investment in clean energy, energy efficiency, and infrastructure modernization. For example, tax incentives, subsidies, and grants can encourage the development of renewable energy projects, while regulations can ensure that energy companies invest in the resilience of their supply chains (Bousdekis, et al., 2021, Manavalan & Jayakrishna, 2019, O'Dwyer, et al., 2019). Governments can also provide financial support during periods of crisis, helping energy companies weather the immediate impact of disruptions and maintain critical operations. This was evident during the COVID-19 pandemic, when governments around the world provided financial assistance to energy companies and other industries to help them navigate the economic challenges posed by the crisis.

At the global level, international cooperation and coordination are necessary to address the interconnectedness of energy supply chains. Organizations like the International Energy Agency (IEA) and the United Nations play important roles in facilitating collaboration among governments, energy companies, and other stakeholders to address global energy challenges (Gardner, et al., 2019, Mangla, et al., 2018, Nowotny, et al., 2018). By promoting global agreements on climate change, energy security, and sustainable development, these organizations can help ensure that energy systems are more resilient to future disruptions and aligned with broader sustainability goals.

The ability to respond to global disruptions effectively requires an integrated approach that combines digital transformation, diversification of supply sources, contingency planning, and regional cooperation. Each of these strategies plays a vital role in enhancing the resilience and adaptability of energy supply chains, enabling companies and governments to respond effectively to the challenges posed by geopolitical tensions, pandemics, natural disasters, and other disruptions (Choudhary, et al., 2019, Marchi & Zanoni, 2017, Norouzi, 2021). By investing in these strategies, the energy sector can build a more robust and sustainable future that is better equipped to navigate the uncertainties of the global landscape.

In conclusion, the challenges posed by global disruptions have underscored the need for energy companies and governments to adopt comprehensive strategies that enhance resilience and adaptability. Digital technologies, diversification of energy sources, effective contingency planning, and regional cooperation are all essential components of a robust and responsive energy supply chain (Armstrong, et al., 2016, Glover, et al., 2014, Varsei, et al., 2014). By

prioritizing these strategies, the energy sector can continue to evolve, ensuring a secure, sustainable, and resilient energy future for all.

2.4 Future Outlook for Energy Supply Chains

The future of energy supply chains is shaped by an evolving landscape marked by technological innovations, sustainability imperatives, and an increasingly complex geopolitical environment. As global disruptions continue to challenge traditional energy supply chains, it is clear that adaptability and resilience will be central to the future outlook of the sector. Energy companies, governments, and other stakeholders will need to embrace new technologies, navigate sustainability challenges, and adopt strategies for managing geopolitical risks to secure a stable and resilient energy future (Bovill, 2020, Gracceva & Zeniewski, 2014, Njiri & Söffker, 2016). Through technological advancements, the transition to green energy, effective geopolitical risk management, and strengthened policy frameworks, energy supply chains can become more resilient and better equipped to handle the uncertainties of the future.

Technological innovations will be critical in reshaping the future of energy supply chains. Emerging technologies, such as blockchain, artificial intelligence (AI), Internet of Things (IoT), and advanced analytics, are increasingly being integrated into energy operations to enhance the resilience and efficiency of supply chains. Blockchain offers transparency and security by ensuring that transactions and energy flows can be monitored in real time, preventing fraud and improving traceability in supply chains (Fernando, et al., 2018, Markard, 2018, Newell, 2021, Wu, et al., 2014). AI and machine learning enable predictive analytics, helping companies forecast disruptions and optimize their supply chain operations, from production to distribution. For example, by analyzing large sets of data, AI can identify patterns that suggest potential delays in production or distribution, allowing companies to make preemptive adjustments before disruptions occur.

The IoT provides real-time data on equipment and infrastructure performance, helping companies anticipate issues before they become major problems. This connectivity allows for more proactive maintenance and quicker responses to disruptions, ensuring that the energy supply remains consistent even in the face of unforeseen challenges. As these technologies continue to mature, their integration into energy supply chains will become increasingly sophisticated, enabling greater flexibility and agility to navigate disruptions (Gielen, et al., 2019, Martínez-Jurado & Moyano-Fuentes, 2014, Mota, et al., 2015). The future of energy supply chains will likely be defined by the widespread adoption of such digital tools, making them smarter, more responsive, and more efficient.

Another important factor influencing the future of energy supply chains is the ongoing transition to sustainability and green energy. The move toward renewable energy sources, such as solar, wind, and geothermal, is rapidly accelerating, driven by the need to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, combat climate change, and mitigate the risks associated with fossil fuel dependence (Okeke, et al., 2022). The future of energy supply chains will increasingly center around renewable energy, which offers both environmental and economic benefits. As the costs of renewable energy technologies continue to fall, these sources will become more competitive with traditional fossil fuels, and their integration into energy systems will become more widespread (Chuang & Huang, 2018, Marzband, et al., 2017, Mohsin, et al., 2018). This transition will help mitigate some of the supply chain disruptions caused by fossil fuel volatility and geopolitical tensions, as renewable energy can often be sourced locally, reducing reliance on international energy markets.

Furthermore, the growth of green energy will help mitigate the impact of future disruptions by diversifying the energy mix and increasing the resilience of supply chains. For example, countries and companies investing in local renewable energy production will be less vulnerable to disruptions in global energy supply chains, such as those caused by geopolitical tensions or natural disasters (Armstrong, et al., 2016, Glover, et al., 2014, Varsei, et al., 2014). The integration of renewable energy with traditional energy systems will create more flexible, decentralized networks that are better able to respond to supply shortages and other disruptions. This will be particularly important as countries and companies strive to meet their sustainability goals while ensuring a stable energy supply.

The future of energy supply chains will also be significantly shaped by the need to manage geopolitical risks effectively. Political instability, trade wars, and shifting alliances can all disrupt the flow of energy across borders, making it essential for energy companies and governments to develop strategies for anticipating and responding to these risks (Byrne, et al., 2017, Mbow, et al., 2017, Miranda, et al., 2021). The geopolitical landscape is becoming increasingly complex, with energy-producing nations leveraging energy resources as political tools, as seen in recent conflicts such as the Russia-Ukraine war. For energy supply chains to remain resilient in the face of such challenges, energy companies must diversify their supply sources, reduce dependence on politically unstable regions, and invest in energy independence.

In addition, companies and governments must build more robust risk management strategies that can anticipate and mitigate the impact of political conflicts on energy production and distribution. This may involve securing long-term contracts with multiple suppliers, investing in domestic energy production, and improving energy storage systems to provide a buffer during periods of supply shortages (Camarinha-Matos, 2016, Graabak & Korpås, 2016, Meng, et al., 2018). Furthermore, energy companies may need to reconsider their global supply chain strategies, ensuring they are more adaptable and capable of responding to disruptions caused by geopolitical events. In an increasingly interconnected world, the ability to anticipate and respond to political risks will be a key factor in the resilience of energy supply chains.

The role of policy and global collaboration in stabilizing global energy supply chains will become more prominent in the future. As energy markets become more interconnected, the need for coordinated international policy frameworks will be critical in ensuring energy security and stability (Okeke, et al., 2022). Governments and international organizations must work together to establish policies that promote the diversification of energy sources, encourage the adoption of renewable energy, and enhance the resilience of energy infrastructure. International cooperation is essential in addressing the challenges posed by climate change, as energy supply chains will be increasingly affected by environmental regulations and sustainability targets (Bag, et al., 2020, Haddud, et al., 2017, Taghikhah, Voinov & Shukla, 2019).

Global collaboration will also be crucial in addressing the energy needs of developing countries, which often face greater challenges in securing stable energy supplies. By working together, developed and developing nations can share knowledge, resources, and technology to improve energy security and promote sustainable development. The international community must focus on creating policy frameworks that enable the equitable distribution of energy resources and ensure that all nations have access to reliable, affordable, and clean energy (Bag, et al., 2020, Haddud, et al., 2017, Taghikhah, Voinov & Shukla, 2019). This will require governments to align their energy policies with global sustainability goals, such as those set out in the Paris Agreement, and invest in infrastructure that supports the transition to a low-carbon future.

In addition to policy frameworks, the future of energy supply chains will depend on the ability of energy companies to collaborate with one another, as well as with governments, technology providers, and other stakeholders. Industry partnerships will be essential in driving innovation, sharing best practices, and scaling up the deployment of renewable energy technologies (Armstrong, et al., 2016, Glover, et al., 2014, Varsei, et al., 2014). These collaborations can also help companies address common challenges, such as improving energy storage, developing smart grids, and enhancing the resilience of supply chains. As the energy sector continues to evolve, the importance of collective action and shared responsibility will only grow.

The future outlook for energy supply chains is undoubtedly shaped by the need to address technological innovation, sustainability, geopolitical risks, and policy frameworks. Through the integration of emerging technologies, the transition to green energy, effective risk management strategies, and global cooperation, energy supply chains can become more resilient, adaptable, and sustainable (Camarinha-Matos, 2016, Graabak & Korpås, 2016, Meng, et al., 2018). As the world continues to face unprecedented disruptions and challenges, the ability to respond effectively and maintain a stable energy supply will be critical to global economic stability and sustainable development. By focusing on these key areas, the energy sector can pave the way for a future that is more secure, efficient, and aligned with the global push for sustainability.

In conclusion, the impact of global disruptions on energy supply chains has become a critical issue for both the energy sector and the global economy. From the COVID-19 pandemic to geopolitical tensions, climate change, and economic volatility, disruptions have highlighted vulnerabilities within energy supply chains, causing ripple effects across production, transportation, and distribution systems. Case studies, such as the disruptions caused by the Russia-Ukraine conflict and the COVID-19 pandemic, illustrate how supply chains can be strained by factors outside of traditional risk management frameworks (Bag, et al., 2020, Haddud, et al., 2017, Taghikhah, Voinov & Shukla, 2019). These events have emphasized the need for energy companies, governments, and stakeholders to reconsider their strategies and adopt more robust, adaptable, and sustainable approaches to energy supply chain management.

Key lessons from these disruptions underscore the importance of building resilience into energy supply chains through diversification, technological innovation, and comprehensive risk management strategies. Digital technologies, including blockchain, AI, IoT, and predictive analytics, offer significant potential in enhancing supply chain visibility, enabling faster response times, and optimizing operational efficiency. Additionally, the transition to renewable energy sources and sustainable practices plays a critical role in mitigating future disruptions. As global energy needs evolve,

the energy sector must embrace diversification in both supply sources and technologies to remain agile and responsive to changing conditions.

Furthermore, geopolitical risks will continue to play a pivotal role in shaping energy supply chain strategies. The political landscape is increasingly intertwined with energy production and distribution, making it essential for energy companies to strengthen their global risk management frameworks and reduce their reliance on politically unstable regions (Armstrong, et al., 2016, Glover, et al., 2014, Varsei, et al., 2014). Energy independence, bolstered by regional collaborations and international partnerships, will be key to mitigating the risks posed by geopolitical tensions. Energy companies and policymakers alike must prioritize long-term strategies that foster sustainability, reduce dependence on fossil fuels, and promote energy security.

As we look to the future, it is clear that proactive strategies will be essential in maintaining the resilience and stability of global energy supply chains. This involves not only adopting emerging technologies and embracing the green energy transition but also building stronger international collaborations and policy frameworks (Camarinha-Matos, 2016, Graabak & Korpås, 2016, Meng, et al., 2018). Governments, energy companies, and other stakeholders must work together to create a unified approach to address the ongoing challenges and to prepare for future disruptions (Okeke, et al., 2022). The need for foresight, flexibility, and innovation in the face of an increasingly volatile global environment is more pressing than ever. By focusing on these areas, the energy sector can better position itself to navigate the complexities of future disruptions and contribute to a more secure, sustainable, and resilient global energy system.

3 Conclusion

In conclusion, the impact of global disruptions on energy supply chains has become a critical issue for both the energy sector and the global economy. From the COVID-19 pandemic to geopolitical tensions, climate change, and economic volatility, disruptions have highlighted vulnerabilities within energy supply chains, causing ripple effects across production, transportation, and distribution systems. Case studies, such as the disruptions caused by the Russia-Ukraine conflict and the COVID-19 pandemic, illustrate how supply chains can be strained by factors outside of traditional risk management frameworks. These events have emphasized the need for energy companies, governments, and stakeholders to reconsider their strategies and adopt more robust, adaptable, and sustainable approaches to energy supply chain management.

Key lessons from these disruptions underscore the importance of building resilience into energy supply chains through diversification, technological innovation, and comprehensive risk management strategies. Digital technologies, including blockchain, AI, IoT, and predictive analytics, offer significant potential in enhancing supply chain visibility, enabling faster response times, and optimizing operational efficiency. Additionally, the transition to renewable energy sources and sustainable practices plays a critical role in mitigating future disruptions. As global energy needs evolve, the energy sector must embrace diversification in both supply sources and technologies to remain agile and responsive to changing conditions.

Furthermore, geopolitical risks will continue to play a pivotal role in shaping energy supply chain strategies. The political landscape is increasingly intertwined with energy production and distribution, making it essential for energy companies to strengthen their global risk management frameworks and reduce their reliance on politically unstable regions. Energy independence, bolstered by regional collaborations and international partnerships, will be key to mitigating the risks posed by geopolitical tensions. Energy companies and policymakers alike must prioritize long-term strategies that foster sustainability, reduce dependence on fossil fuels, and promote energy security.

As we look to the future, it is clear that proactive strategies will be essential in maintaining the resilience and stability of global energy supply chains. This involves not only adopting emerging technologies and embracing the green energy transition but also building stronger international collaborations and policy frameworks. Governments, energy companies, and other stakeholders must work together to create a unified approach to address the ongoing challenges and to prepare for future disruptions. The need for foresight, flexibility, and innovation in the face of an increasingly volatile global environment is more pressing than ever. By focusing on these areas, the energy sector can better position itself to navigate the complexities of future disruptions and contribute to a more secure, sustainable, and resilient global energy system.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Adeniran, A. I., Abhulimen, A. O., Obiki-Osafiele. A. N., Osundare, O. S., Efunniyi, C. P., Agu, E. E. (2022). Digital banking in Africa: A conceptual review of financial inclusion and socio-economic development. International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences, 2022, 04(10), 451-480, https://doi.org/10.51594/ijarss.v4i10.1480

[2] Adeniran, I. A, Abhulimen A.O, Obiki-Osafiele, A.N, Osundare O.S, Efunniyi C.P, & Agu E.E. (2022): Digital banking in Africa: A conceptual review of financial inclusion and socio-economic development. International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences, Volume 4, Issue 10, P.No. 451-480, 2022

[3] Adewusi, A.O., Chiekezie, N.R. & Eyo-Udo, N.L. (2022) Cybersecurity threats in agriculture supply chains: A comprehensive review. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 15(03), pp 490-500

[4] Adewusi, A.O., Chiekezie, N.R. & Eyo-Udo, N.L. (2022) Securing smart agriculture: Cybersecurity challenges and solutions in IoT-driven farms. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 15(03), pp 480-489

[5] Adewusi, A.O., Chiekezie, N.R. & Eyo-Udo, N.L. (2022) The role of AI in enhancing cybersecurity for smart farms. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 15(03), pp 501-512

[6] Agu, E.E, Abhulimen A.O, Obiki-Osafiele, A.N, Osundare O.S, Adeniran I.A & Efunniyi C.P. (2022): Artificial Intelligence in African Insurance: A review of risk management and fraud prevention. International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research, Volume 4, Issue 12, P.No.768-794, 2022.

[7] Agupugo, C. P., & Tochukwu, M. F. C. (2021): A model to Assess the Economic Viability of Renewable Energy Microgrids: A Case Study of Imufu Nigeria.

[8] Agupugo, C. P., Ajayi, A. O., Nwanevu, C., & Oladipo, S. S. (2022); Advancements in Technology for Renewable Energy Microgrids.

[9] Agupugo, C. P., Ajayi, A. O., Nwanevu, C., & Oladipo, S. S. (2022): Policy and regulatory framework supporting renewable energy microgrids and energy storage systems.

[10] Ahmad, T., Zhu, H., Zhang, D., Tariq, R., Bassam, A., Ullah, F., ... & Alshamrani, S. S. (2022). Energetics Systems and artificial intelligence: Applications of industry 4.0. *Energy Reports*, *8*, 334-361.

[11] Anvari, M., Lohmann, G., Wächter, M., Milan, P., Lorenz, E., Heinemann, D., ... & Peinke, J. (2016). Short term fluctuations of wind and solar power systems. *New Journal of Physics*, *18*(6), 063027.

[12] Armstrong, R. C., Wolfram, C., De Jong, K. P., Gross, R., Lewis, N. S., Boardman, B., ... & Ramana, M. V. (2016). The frontiers of energy. *Nature Energy*, *1*(1), 1-8.

[13] Bag, S., Wood, L. C., Xu, L., Dhamija, P., & Kayikci, Y. (2020). Big data analytics as an operational excellence approach to enhance sustainable supply chain performance. *Resources, conservation and recycling*, *153*, 104559.

[14] Bauwens, T., Gotchev, B., & Holstenkamp, L. (2016). What drives the development of community energy in Europe? The case of wind power cooperatives. *Energy Research & Social Science*, *13*, 136-147.

[15] Bazilian, M., Nakhooda, S., & Van de Graaf, T. (2014). Energy governance and poverty. *Energy Research & Social Science*, *1*, 217-225.

[16] Belhadi, A., Kamble, S., Jabbour, C. J. C., Gunasekaran, A., Ndubisi, N. O., & Venkatesh, M. (2021). Manufacturing and service supply chain resilience to the COVID-19 outbreak: Lessons learned from the automobile and airline industries. *Technological forecasting and social change*, *163*, 120447.

[17] Bello, O. A., Folorunso, A., Ogundipe, A., Kazeem, O., Budale, A., Zainab, F., & Ejiofor, O. E. (2022). Enhancing Cyber Financial Fraud Detection Using Deep Learning Techniques: A Study on Neural Networks and Anomaly Detection. *International Journal of Network and Communication Research*, *7*(1), 90-113.

[18] Berka, A. L., & Creamer, E. (2018). Taking stock of the local impacts of community owned renewable energy: A review and research agenda. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *82*, 3400-3419.

[19] Bessa, R., Moreira, C., Silva, B., & Matos, M. (2019). Handling renewable energy variability and uncertainty in power system operation. *Advances in Energy Systems: The Large-scale Renewable Energy Integration Challenge*, 1-26.

[20] Bhardwaj, B. R. (2016). Role of green policy on sustainable supply chain management: a model for implementing corporate social responsibility (CSR). *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, *23*(2), 456-468.

[21] Bogdanov, D., Gulagi, A., Fasihi, M., & Breyer, C. (2021). Full energy sector transition towards 100% renewable energy supply: Integrating power, heat, transport and industry sectors including desalination. *Applied Energy*, *283*, 116273.

[22] Bolton, R., & Foxon, T. J. (2015). Infrastructure transformation as a socio-technical process—Implications for the governance of energy distribution networks in the UK. *Technological forecasting and social change*, *90*, 538-550.

[23] Bourlakis, M., Maglaras, G., Aktas, E., Gallear, D., & Fotopoulos, C. (2014). Firm size and sustainable performance in food supply chains: Insights from Greek SMEs. *International journal of production Economics*, *152*, 112-130.

[24] Bousdekis, A., Lepenioti, K., Apostolou, D., & Mentzas, G. (2021). A review of data-driven decision-making methods for industry 4.0 maintenance applications. *Electronics*, *10*(7), 828.

[25] Bovill, C. (2020). Co-creation in learning and teaching: the case for a whole-class approach in higher education. *Higher education*, *79*(6), 1023-1037.

[26] Byrne, R. H., Nguyen, T. A., Copp, D. A., Chalamala, B. R., & Gyuk, I. (2017). Energy management and optimization methods for grid energy storage systems. *IEEE Access*, *6*, 13231-13260.

[27] Camarinha-Matos, L. M. (2016). Collaborative smart grids–A survey on trends. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *65*, 283-294.

[28] Cambero, C., & Sowlati, T. (2014). Assessment and optimization of forest biomass supply chains from economic, social and environmental perspectives–A review of literature. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *36*, 62-73.

[29] Cantarero, M. M. V. (2020). Of renewable energy, energy democracy, and sustainable development: A roadmap to accelerate the energy transition in developing countries. *Energy Research & Social Science*, *70*, 101716.

[30] Centobelli, P., Cerchione, R., & Esposito, E. (2018). Environmental sustainability and energy-efficient supply chain management: A review of research trends and proposed guidelines. *Energies*, *11*(2), 275.

[31] Chin, T. A., Tat, H. H., & Sulaiman, Z. (2015). Green supply chain management, environmental collaboration and sustainability performance. *Procedia Cirp*, *26*, 695-699.

[32] Choudhary, S., Nayak, R., Dora, M., Mishra, N., & Ghadge, A. (2019). An integrated lean and green approach for improving sustainability performance: a case study of a packaging manufacturing SME in the UK. *Production planning & control*, *30*(5-6), 353-368.

[33] Chuang, S. P., & Huang, S. J. (2018). The effect of environmental corporate social responsibility on environmental performance and business competitiveness: The mediation of green information technology capital. *Journal of business ethics*, *150*, 991-1009.

[34] Coyle, E. D., & Simmons, R. A. (2014). *Understanding the global energy crisis*. Purdue University Press.

[35] Diabat, A., Kannan, D., & Mathiyazhagan, K. (2014). Analysis of enablers for implementation of sustainable supply chain management–A textile case. *Journal of cleaner production*, *83*, 391-403.

[36] Dilyard, J., Zhao, S., & You, J. J. (2021). Digital innovation and Industry 4.0 for global value chain resilience: Lessons learned and ways forward. *Thunderbird International Business Review*, *63*(5), 577-584.

[37] Ebrahim, Z., Inderwildi, O. R., & King, D. A. (2014). Macroeconomic impacts of oil price volatility: mitigation and resilience. *Frontiers in Energy*, *8*, 9-24.

[38] Efunniyi, C.P, Abhulimen A.O, Obiki-Osafiele, A.N,Osundare O.S , Adeniran I.A , & Agu E.E. (2022): Data analytics in African banking: A review of opportunities and challenges for enhancing financial services. International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research, Volume 4, Issue 12, P.No.748-767, 2022.3.

[39] El-Katiri, L., Fattouh, B., & Mallinson, R. (2014). The Arab Uprisings and MENA Political Instability–Implications for Oil & Gas Markets.

[40] Elum, Z. A., & Momodu, A. S. (2017). Climate change mitigation and renewable energy for sustainable development in Nigeria: A discourse approach. *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, *76*, 72-80.

[41] Eskandarpour, M., Dejax, P., Miemczyk, J., & Péton, O. (2015). Sustainable supply chain network design: An optimization-oriented review. *Omega*, *54*, 11-32.

[42] Esmaeilian, B., Sarkis, J., Lewis, K., & Behdad, S. (2020). Blockchain for the future of sustainable supply chain management in Industry 4.0. *Resources, conservation and recycling*, *163*, 105064.

[43] Fernando, Y., & Yahya, S. (2015). Challenges in implementing renewable energy supply chain in service economy era. *Procedia Manufacturing*, *4*, 454-460.

[44] Fernando, Y., Bee, P. S., Jabbour, C. J. C., & Thomé, A. M. T. (2018). Understanding the effects of energy management practices on renewable energy supply chains: Implications for energy policy in emerging economies. *Energy Policy*, *118*, 418-428.

[45] Fontes, C. H. D. O., & Freires, F. G. M. (2018). Sustainable and renewable energy supply chain: A system dynamics overview. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *82*, 247-259.

[46] Garcia, D. J., & You, F. (2015). Supply chain design and optimization: Challenges and opportunities. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, *81*, 153-170.

[47] Gardner, T. A., Benzie, M., Börner, J., Dawkins, E., Fick, S., Garrett, R., ... & Wolvekamp, P. (2019). Transparency and sustainability in global commodity supply chains. *World Development*, *121*, 163-177.

[48] Gašević, D., Dawson, S., & Siemens, G. (2015). Let's not forget: Learning analytics are about learning. *TechTrends*, *59*, 64-71.

[49] Geels, F. W. (2014). Reconceptualising the co-evolution of firms-in-industries and their environments: Developing an inter-disciplinary Triple Embeddedness Framework. *Research policy*, *43*(2), 261-277.

[50] Ghobakhloo, M., & Fathi, M. (2021). Industry 4.0 and opportunities for energy sustainability. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *295*, 126427.

[51] Gielen, D., Boshell, F., Saygin, D., Bazilian, M. D., Wagner, N., & Gorini, R. (2019). The role of renewable energy in the global energy transformation. *Energy strategy reviews*, *24*, 38-50.

[52] Gielen, D., Gorini, R., Wagner, N., Leme, R., Gutierrez, L., Prakash, G., ... & Renner, M. (2019). Global energy transformation: a roadmap to 2050.

[53] Gill, S. S., Tuli, S., Xu, M., Singh, I., Singh, K. V., Lindsay, D., ... & Garraghan, P. (2019). Transformative effects of IoT, Blockchain and Artificial Intelligence on cloud computing: Evolution, vision, trends and open challenges. *Internet of Things*, *8*, 100118.

[54] Gil-Ozoudeh, I., Iwuanyanwu, O., Okwandu, A. C., & Ike, C. S. (2022). *The role of passive design strategies in enhancing energy efficiency in green buildings*. Engineering Science & Technology Journal, Volume 3, Issue 2, December 2022, No.71-91

[55] Gil-Ozoudeh, I., Iwuanyanwu, O., Okwandu, A. C., & Ike, C. S. (2022). Life cycle assessment of green buildings: A comprehensive analysis of environmental impacts (pp. 729-747). Publisher. p. 730.

[56] Glover, J. L., Champion, D., Daniels, K. J., & Dainty, A. J. (2014). An Institutional Theory perspective on sustainable practices across the dairy supply chain. *International Journal of Production Economics*, *152*, 102-111.

[57] Good, N., Ellis, K. A., & Mancarella, P. (2017). Review and classification of barriers and enablers of demand response in the smart grid. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *72*, 57-72.

[58] Gouda, S. K., & Saranga, H. (2018). Sustainable supply chains for supply chain sustainability: impact of sustainability efforts on supply chain risk. *International Journal of Production Research*, *56*(17), 5820-5835.

[59] Graabak, I., & Korpås, M. (2016). Variability characteristics of European wind and solar power resources—A review. *Energies*, *9*(6), 449.

[60] Gracceva, F., & Zeniewski, P. (2014). A systemic approach to assessing energy security in a low-carbon EU energy system. *Applied Energy*, *123*, 335-348.

[61] Grubb, M., Hourcade, J. C., & Neuhoff, K. (2014). *Planetary economics: energy, climate change and the three domains of sustainable development*. Routledge.

[62] Gui, E. M., & MacGill, I. (2018). Typology of future clean energy communities: An exploratory structure, opportunities, and challenges. *Energy research & social science*, *35*, 94-107.

[63] Habib, M. A., Bao, Y., Nabi, N., Dulal, M., Asha, A. A., & Islam, M. (2021). Impact of strategic orientations on the implementation of green supply chain management practices and sustainable firm performance. *Sustainability*, *13*(1), 340.

[64] Haddud, A., DeSouza, A., Khare, A., & Lee, H. (2017). Examining potential benefits and challenges associated with the Internet of Things integration in supply chains. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, *28*(8), 1055-1085.

[65] Hall, S., Foxon, T. J., & Bolton, R. (2016). Financing the civic energy sector: How financial institutions affect ownership models in Germany and the United Kingdom. *Energy Research & Social Science*, *12*, 5-15.

[66] Hartmann, J., Inkpen, A. C., & Ramaswamy, K. (2021). Different shades of green: Global oil and gas companies and renewable energy. *Journal of International Business Studies*, *52*, 879-903.

[67] Hassan, A., & Mhmood, A. H. (2021). Optimizing network performance, automation, and intelligent decision-making through real-time big data analytics. *International Journal of Responsible Artificial Intelligence*, *11*(8), 12-22.

[68] Hepburn, C., Qi, Y., Stern, N., Ward, B., Xie, C., & Zenghelis, D. (2021). Towards carbon neutrality and China's 14th Five-Year Plan: Clean energy transition, sustainable urban development, and investment priorities. *Environmental Science and Ecotechnology*, *8*, 100130.

[69] Hoang, A. T., Nižetić, S., Olcer, A. I., Ong, H. C., Chen, W. H., Chong, C. T., ... & Nguyen, X. P. (2021). Impacts of COVID-19 pandemic on the global energy system and the shift progress to renewable energy: Opportunities, challenges, and policy implications. *Energy Policy*, *154*, 112322.

[70] Hosenuzzaman, M., Rahim, N. A., Selvaraj, J., Hasanuzzaman, M., Malek, A. A., & Nahar, A. (2015). Global prospects, progress, policies, and environmental impact of solar photovoltaic power generation. *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, *41*, 284-297.

[71] Huntington, H. G. (2018). Measuring oil supply disruptions: A historical perspective. *Energy policy*, *115*, 426-433.

[72] Ibn-Mohammed, T., Mustapha, K. B., Godsell, J., Adamu, Z., Babatunde, K. A., Akintade, D. D., ... & Koh, S. C. L. (2021). A critical analysis of the impacts of COVID-19 on the global economy and ecosystems and opportunities for circular economy strategies. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *164*, 105169.

[73] Igogo, T. (2022). *America's Strategy to Secure the Supply Chain for a Robust Clean Energy Transition*. USDOE Office of Policy, Washington DC (United States).

[74] Iwuanyanwu, O., Gil-Ozoudeh, I., Okwandu, A. C., & Ike, C. S. (2022). *The integration of renewable energy systems in green buildings: Challenges and opportunities*. Journal of Applied

[75] Javaid, M., Haleem, A., Singh, R. P., Suman, R., & Gonzalez, E. S. (2022). Understanding the adoption of Industry 4.0 technologies in improving environmental sustainability. *Sustainable Operations and Computers*, *3*, 203-217.

[76] Jiang, P., Van Fan, Y., & Klemeš, J. J. (2021). Impacts of COVID-19 on energy demand and consumption: Challenges, lessons and emerging opportunities. *Applied energy*, *285*, 116441.

[77] Kohlhepp, P., Harb, H., Wolisz, H., Waczowicz, S., Müller, D., & Hagenmeyer, V. (2019). Large-scale grid integration of residential thermal energy storages as demand-side flexibility resource: A review of international field studies. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *101*, 527-547.

[78] Koirala, B. P., Koliou, E., Friege, J., Hakvoort, R. A., & Herder, P. M. (2016). Energetic communities for community energy: A review of key issues and trends shaping integrated community energy systems. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *56*, 722-744.

[79] Kouhizadeh, M., Saberi, S., & Sarkis, J. (2021). Blockchain technology and the sustainable supply chain: Theoretically exploring adoption barriers. *International journal of production economics*, *231*, 107831.

[80] Kumar, K. (2020). From post-industrial to post-modern society. In *The information society reader* (pp. 103-120). Routledge.

[81] Kwak, D. W., Seo, Y. J., & Mason, R. (2018). Investigating the relationship between supply chain innovation, risk management capabilities and competitive advantage in global supply chains. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, *38*(1), 2-21.

[82] Laari, S., Töyli, J., & Ojala, L. (2017). Supply chain perspective on competitive strategies and green supply chain management strategies. *Journal of cleaner production*, *141*, 1303-1315.

[83] Lacity, M., Willcocks, L. P., & Craig, A. (2015). Robotic process automation: mature capabilities in the energy sector.

[84] Lee, D., Hampton, M., & Jeyacheya, J. (2015). The political economy of precarious work in the tourism industry in small island developing states. *Review of International Political Economy*, *22*(1), 194-223.

[85] Lee, J. H., Hancock, M. G., & Hu, M. C. (2014). Towards an effective framework for building smart cities: Lessons from Seoul and San Francisco. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *89*, 80-99.

[86] Li, Y., Chen, B., Chen, G., & Wu, X. (2021). The global oil supply chain: The essential role of non-oil product as revealed by a comparison between physical and virtual oil trade patterns. *Resources, conservation and recycling*, *175*, 105836.

[87] Lokuwaduge, C. S. D. S., & Heenetigala, K. (2017). Integrating environmental, social and governance (ESG) disclosure for a sustainable development: An Australian study. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, *26*(4), 438-450.

[88] MacCarthy, B. L., Blome, C., Olhager, J., Srai, J. S., & Zhao, X. (2016). Supply chain evolution–theory, concepts and science. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, *36*(12), 1696-1718.

[89] Manavalan, E., & Jayakrishna, K. (2019). A review of Internet of Things (IoT) embedded sustainable supply chain for industry 4.0 requirements. *Computers & industrial engineering*, *127*, 925-953.

[90] Mangla, S. K., Luthra, S., Mishra, N., Singh, A., Rana, N. P., Dora, M., & Dwivedi, Y. (2018). Barriers to effective circular supply chain management in a developing country context. *Production Planning & Control*, *29*(6), 551-569.

[91] Marchi, B., & Zanoni, S. (2017). Supply chain management for improved energy efficiency: Review and opportunities. *Energies*, *10*(10), 1618.

[92] Markard, J. (2018). The next phase of the energy transition and its implications for research and policy. *Nature Energy*, *3*(8), 628-633.

[93] Martínez-Jurado, P. J., & Moyano-Fuentes, J. (2014). Lean management, supply chain management and sustainability: a literature review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *85*, 134-150.

[94] Marzband, M., Alavi, H., Ghazimirsaeid, S. S., Uppal, H., & Fernando, T. (2017). Optimal energy management system based on stochastic approach for a home Microgrid with integrated responsive load demand and energy storage. *Sustainable cities and society*, *28*, 256-264.

[95] Mbow, H. O. P., Reisinger, A., Canadell, J., & O'Brien, P. (2017). Special Report on climate change, desertification, land degradation, sustainable land management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems (SR2). *Ginevra, IPCC*, *650*.

[96] Meng, Y., Yang, Y., Chung, H., Lee, P. H., & Shao, C. (2018). Enhancing sustainability and energy efficiency in smart factories: A review. *Sustainability*, *10*(12), 4779.

[97] Miranda, J., Navarrete, C., Noguez, J., Molina-Espinosa, J. M., Ramírez-Montoya, M. S., Navarro-Tuch, S. A., ... & Molina, A. (2021). The core components of education 4.0 in higher education: Three case studies in engineering education. *Computers & Electrical Engineering*, *93*, 107278.

[98] Mohsin, M., Zhou, P., Iqbal, N., & Shah, S. A. A. (2018). Assessing oil supply security of South Asia. *Energy*, *155*, 438-447.

[99] Mota, B., Gomes, M. I., Carvalho, A., & Barbosa-Povoa, A. P. (2015). Towards supply chain sustainability: economic, environmental and social design and planning. *Journal of cleaner production*, *105*, 14-27.

[100] Newell, P. (2021). *Power shift: The global political economy of energy transitions*. Cambridge University Press.

[101] Njiri, J. G., & Söffker, D. (2016). State-of-the-art in wind turbine control: Trends and challenges. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *60*, 377-393.

[102] Norouzi, N. (2021). Post-COVID-19 and globalization of oil and natural gas trade: Challenges, opportunities, lessons, regulations, and strategies. *International journal of energy research*, *45*(10), 14338-14356.

[103] Nowotny, J., Dodson, J., Fiechter, S., Gür, T. M., Kennedy, B., Macyk, W., ... & Rahman, K. A. (2018). Towards global sustainability: Education on environmentally clean energy technologies. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *81*, 2541-2551.

[104] O'Dwyer, E., Pan, I., Acha, S., & Shah, N. (2019). Smart energy systems for sustainable smart cities: Current developments, trends and future directions. *Applied energy*, *237*, 581-597.

[105] O'Rourke, D. (2014). The science of sustainable supply chains. *Science*, *344*(6188), 1124-1127.

[106] Okeke, C.I, Agu E.E, Ejike O.G, Ewim C.P-M and Komolafe M.O. (2022): A regulatory model for standardizing financial advisory services in Nigeria. International Journal of Frontline Research in Science and Technology, 2022, 01(02), 067–082.

[107] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2022). Developing a regulatory model for product quality assurance in Nigeria's local industries. International Journal of Frontline Research in Multidisciplinary Studies, 1(02), 54–69.

[108] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2022). A service standardization model for Nigeria's healthcare system: Toward improved patient care. International Journal of Frontline Research in Multidisciplinary Studies, 1(2), 40–53.

[109] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2022). A model for wealth management through standardized financial advisory practices in Nigeria. International Journal of Frontline Research in Multidisciplinary Studies, 1(2), 27–39.

[110] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2022). A conceptual model for standardizing tax procedures in Nigeria's public and private sectors. International Journal of Frontline Research in Multidisciplinary Studies, 1(2), 14–26

[111] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2022). A conceptual framework for enhancing product standardization in Nigeria's manufacturing sector. International Journal of Frontline Research in Multidisciplinary Studies, 1(2), 1–13.

[112] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2022). Modeling a national standardization policy for made-in-Nigeria products: Bridging the global competitiveness gap. International Journal of Frontline Research in Science and Technology, 1(2), 98–109.

[113] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2022). A theoretical model for standardized taxation of Nigeria's informal sector: A pathway to compliance. International Journal of Frontline Research in Science and Technology, 1(2), 83–97.

[114] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2022). A model for foreign direct investment (FDI) promotion through standardized tax policies in Nigeria. International Journal of Frontline Research in Science and Technology, 1(2), 53–66.

[115] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2022). A regulatory model for standardizing financial advisory services in Nigeria. International Journal of Frontline Research in Science and Technology, 1(2), 67–82.

[116] Okeke, I.C, Agu E.E, Ejike O.G, Ewim C.P-M and Komolafe M.O. (2022): A conceptual model for financial advisory standardization: Bridging the financial literacy gap in Nigeria. International Journal of Frontline Research in Science and Technology, 2022, 01(02), 038–052

[117] Oláh, J., Aburumman, N., Popp, J., Khan, M. A., Haddad, H., & Kitukutha, N. (2020). Impact of Industry 4.0 on environmental sustainability. *Sustainability*, *12*(11), 4674.

[118] Oyedokun, O. O. (2019). *Green human resource management practices and its effect on the sustainable competitive edge in the Nigerian manufacturing industry (Dangote)* (Doctoral dissertation, Dublin Business School).

[119] Oyeniran, C.O., Adewusi, A.O., Adeleke, A. G., Akwawa, L.A., Azubuko, C. F. (2022). Ethical AI: Addressing bias in machine learning models and software applications. Computer Science & IT Research Journal, 3(3), pp. 115-126

[120] Pampanelli, A. B., Found, P., & Bernardes, A. M. (2014). A Lean & Green Model for a production cell. *Journal of cleaner production*, *85*, 19-30.

[121] Pan, S. Y., Du, M. A., Huang, I. T., Liu, I. H., Chang, E. E., & Chiang, P. C. (2015). Strategies on implementation of waste-to-energy (WTE) supply chain for circular economy system: a review. *Journal of cleaner production*, *108*, 409-421.

[122] Papadis, E., & Tsatsaronis, G. (2020). Challenges in the decarbonization of the energy sector. *Energy*, *205*, 118025.

[123] Philbeck, T., & Davis, N. (2018). The fourth industrial revolution. *Journal of International Affairs*, *72*(1), 17-22.

[124] Piercy, N., & Rich, N. (2015). The relationship between lean operations and sustainable operations. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, *35*(2), 282-315.

[125] Ponnaganti, P., Pillai, J. R., & Bak-Jensen, B. (2018). Opportunities and challenges of demand response in active distribution networks. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Energy and Environment*, *7*(1), e271.

[126] Pryor, S. C., Barthelmie, R. J., Bukovsky, M. S., Leung, L. R., & Sakaguchi, K. (2020). Climate change impacts on wind power generation. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, *1*(12), 627-643.

[127] Quaschning, V. V. (2019). *Renewable energy and climate change*. John Wiley & Sons.

[128] Rajeev, A., Pati, R. K., Padhi, S. S., & Govindan, K. (2017). Evolution of sustainability in supply chain management: A literature review. *Journal of cleaner production*, *162*, 299-314.

[129] Richter, P. M., & Holz, F. (2015). All quiet on the eastern front? Disruption scenarios of Russian natural gas supply to Europe. *Energy Policy*, *80*, 177-189.

[130] Rissman, J., Bataille, C., Masanet, E., Aden, N., Morrow III, W. R., Zhou, N., ... & Helseth, J. (2020). Technologies and policies to decarbonize global industry: Review and assessment of mitigation drivers through 2070. *Applied energy*, *266*, 114848.

[131] Robert, F. C., Sisodia, G. S., & Gopalan, S. (2018). A critical review on the utilization of storage and demand response for the implementation of renewable energy microgrids. *Sustainable cities and society*, *40*, 735-745.

[132] Saberi, S., Kouhizadeh, M., Sarkis, J., & Shen, L. (2019). Blockchain technology and its relationships to sustainable supply chain management. *International journal of production research*, *57*(7), 2117-2135.

[133] Scholz, J., De Meyer, A., Marques, A. S., Pinho, T. M., Boaventura-Cunha, J., Van Orshoven, J., ... & Nummila, K. (2018). Digital technologies for forest supply chain optimization: existing solutions and future trends. *Environmental Management*, *62*, 1108-1133.

[134] Seyfang, G., Hielscher, S., Hargreaves, T., Martiskainen, M., & Smith, A. (2014). A grassroots sustainable energy niche? Reflections on community energy in the UK. *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions*, *13*, 21-44.

[135] Sharma, A., Adhikary, A., & Borah, S. B. (2020). Covid-19′ s impact on supply chain decisions: Strategic insights from NASDAQ 100 firms using Twitter data. *Journal of business research*, *117*, 443-449.

[136] Shivashankar, S., Mekhilef, S., Mokhlis, H., & Karimi, M. (2016). Mitigating methods of power fluctuation of photovoltaic (PV) sources–A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *59*, 1170-1184.

[137] Shrivastava, P. (2018). Environmental technologies and competitive advantage. In *Business Ethics and Strategy, Volumes I and II* (pp. 317-334). Routledge.

[138] Silvestre, B. S. (2015). Sustainable supply chain management in emerging economies: Environmental turbulence, institutional voids and sustainability trajectories. *International Journal of Production Economics*, *167*, 156-169.

[139] Sodhi, M. S., & Tang, C. S. (2018). Corporate social sustainability in supply chains: a thematic analysis of the literature. *International Journal of Production Research*, *56*(1-2), 882-901.

[140] Sovacool, B. K., Axsen, J., & Sorrell, S. (2018). Promoting novelty, rigor, and style in energy social science: Towards codes of practice for appropriate methods and research design. *Energy research & social science*, *45*, 12-42.

[141] Strielkowski, W., Civín, L., Tarkhanova, E., Tvaronavičienė, M., & Petrenko, Y. (2021). Renewable energy in the sustainable development of electrical power sector: A review. *Energies*, *14*(24), 8240.

[142] Taghikhah, F., Voinov, A., & Shukla, N. (2019). Extending the supply chain to address sustainability. *Journal of cleaner production*, *229*, 652-666.

[143] Tran-Dang, H., & Kim, D. S. (2021). The physical internet in the era of digital transformation: perspectives and open issues. *Ieee Access*, *9*, 164613-164631.

[144] Tseng, M. L., Islam, M. S., Karia, N., Fauzi, F. A., & Afrin, S. (2019). A literature review on green supply chain management: Trends and future challenges. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *141*, 145-162.

[145] Vargas, J. R. C., Mantilla, C. E. M., & de Sousa Jabbour, A. B. L. (2018). Enablers of sustainable supply chain management and its effect on competitive advantage in the Colombian context. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *139*, 237-250.

[146] Varsei, M., Soosay, C., Fahimnia, B., & Sarkis, J. (2014). Framing sustainability performance of supply chains with multidimensional indicators. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, *19*(3), 242-257.

[147] Veers, P., Dykes, K., Lantz, E., Barth, S., Bottasso, C. L., Carlson, O., ... & Wiser, R. (2019). Grand challenges in the science of wind energy. *Science*, *366*(6464), eaau2027.

[148] Wirth, S. (2014). Communities matter: Institutional preconditions for community renewable energy. *Energy policy*, *70*, 236-246.

[149] Wong, L. W., Leong, L. Y., Hew, J. J., Tan, G. W. H., & Ooi, K. B. (2020). Time to seize the digital evolution: Adoption of blockchain in operations and supply chain management among Malaysian SMEs. *International Journal of Information Management*, *52*, 101997.

[150] Wu, T., Wu, Y. C. J., Chen, Y. J., & Goh, M. (2014). Aligning supply chain strategy with corporate environmental strategy: A contingency approach. *International Journal of Production Economics*, *147*, 220-229.

[151] Yu, W., Chavez, R., Feng, M., & Wiengarten, F. (2014). Integrated green supply chain management and operational performance. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, *19*(5/6), 683-696.

[152] Zakeri, B., Paulavets, K., Barreto-Gomez, L., Echeverri, L. G., Pachauri, S., Boza-Kiss, B., ... & Pouya, S. (2022). Pandemic, war, and global energy transitions. *Energies*, *15*(17), 6114