International Journal of Engineering Research Updates

Journal homepage: https://orionjournals.com/ijeru/

ISSN: 2783-0187 (Online)

(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Check for updates

Strengthening environmental safety in oil and gas operations: Optimizing health, safety, and environmental (HSE) protocols

Friday Emmanuel Adikwu [1, *], Andrew Emuobosa Esiri [2], Adeoye Taofik Aderamo [3], Oluwaseyi Ayotunde Akano [4] and Ovie Vincent Erhueh [5]

[1] *Waltersmith Refining and Petrochemical Company Ltd, Lagos, Nigeria.*
[2] *Independent Researcher, Houston, TX, USA.*
[3] *Independent Researcher; Lagos Nigeria.*
[4] *Chevron Nigeria Limited, Nigeria.*
[5] *Independent Researcher, Nigeria.*

International Journal of Engineering Research Updates, 2024, 07(02), 036–045

Publication history: Received on 02 October 2024; revised on 09 November 2024; accepted on 12 November 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.53430/ijeru.2024.7.2.0048

Abstract

The oil and gas industry faces significant environmental challenges, including spills, emissions, and equipment failures, which pose serious risks to ecosystems and human health. This review explores strategies for optimizing Health, Safety, and Environmental (HSE) protocols in oil and gas operations, emphasizing technological advancements, proactive safety measures, and industry-regulator collaboration. It examines how real-time monitoring systems, predictive analytics, and automation improve risk management, while best practices such as comprehensive risk assessments and safety training mitigate potential hazards. The paper also highlights the need for flexible regulatory frameworks and enhanced transparency through data sharing. The findings underscore the importance of refining HSE protocols to ensure environmental safety and operational resilience. Recommendations include expanding the use of advanced technologies, strengthening safety cultures, and fostering closer cooperation between the industry and regulatory bodies. These strategies aim to reduce environmental risks, improve compliance, and enhance sustainability in the sector.

Keywords: HSE protocols; Environmental safety; Oil and gas industry; Risk mitigation; Technological advancements; Regulatory collaboration

1. Introduction

1.1 Overview of HSE Protocols and Their Importance

HSE protocols serve as a comprehensive framework designed to manage health, safety, and environmental risks in oil and gas operations. These protocols cover every stage of the production process, from exploration and drilling to transportation and refining (Arthur, Owusu, & Ahiable, 2019). Their primary aim is to minimize the likelihood of accidents, such as oil spills, explosions, and harmful emissions, while ensuring compliance with regulatory standards. The importance of HSE protocols cannot be overstated, as they represent a systematic approach to risk management that benefits companies and the broader ecosystem (Albeldawi, 2023).

One of the key components of HSE protocols is risk assessment. This involves identifying potential hazards and evaluating their impact, followed by the implementation of control measures to mitigate these risks. Regular audits, training, and safety drills are integral parts of these protocols, ensuring that employees are well-prepared to handle

* Corresponding author: Joshua Idowu Akerele

emergencies. Additionally, HSE protocols emphasize continuous monitoring of environmental conditions, such as air and water quality, to detect and promptly address any deviations from acceptable standards (Dehdashti, Fatemi, Jannati, Asadi, & Kangarloo, 2020).

The significance of HSE protocols is evident in the reduction of workplace accidents and environmental degradation in the industry. By prioritizing safety and environmental protection, these protocols help oil and gas companies maintain a positive reputation while avoiding costly fines and legal consequences associated with non-compliance. Moreover, they contribute to long-term sustainability by promoting the responsible use of natural resources, reducing carbon emissions, and fostering innovation in cleaner technologies (Marhavilas & Koulouriotis, 2021).

Despite the existence of HSE protocols, oil and gas production remains a high-risk industry, with numerous environmental hazards. These hazards are often a byproduct of the complex and intensive processes involved in extracting and refining hydrocarbons. Among the most pressing environmental hazards are oil spills, greenhouse gas emissions, water contamination, and habitat destruction. Oil spills are perhaps the most visible and damaging environmental hazard associated with oil and gas operations (Ewim et al., 2023). Spills can occur during drilling, transportation, or storage, and they have devastating consequences for marine life, coastal ecosystems, and local economies. The Deepwater Horizon spill in 2010, for example, released millions of barrels of oil into the Gulf of Mexico, causing long-lasting ecological damage and highlighting the need for more stringent safety measures (Little, Sheppard, & Hulme, 2021).

In addition to oil spills, the industry is a significant contributor to greenhouse gas emissions, particularly methane and carbon dioxide. Methane, which is released during the extraction and transportation of natural gas, is a potent greenhouse gas with a much higher warming potential than carbon dioxide. Unchecked emissions from oil and gas operations exacerbate climate change, making it imperative for companies to adopt cleaner technologies and more efficient practices (Williams et al., 2019).

Water contamination is another critical issue. Hydraulic fracturing (fracking), a method used to extract oil and gas from shale formations, has been linked to the contamination of groundwater supplies. Chemicals used in fracking can seep into aquifers, posing serious risks to drinking water sources (Hwang, Heo, Lim, & Park, 2023). Furthermore, oil and gas operations generate large amounts of wastewater, which, if not properly treated, can lead to the pollution of rivers, lakes, and oceans. Lastly, habitat destruction is a significant concern, especially in remote areas where oil and gas exploration often takes place. The construction of pipelines, roads, and drilling sites disrupts natural habitats, threatening biodiversity and altering ecosystems. This destruction is particularly pronounced in sensitive environments such as the Arctic, where oil exploration poses a threat to already fragile ecosystems (Wollin et al., 2020).

1.2 Objectives of the Paper

The primary objective of this paper is to explore strategies for refining HSE protocols in the oil and gas industry to better address these environmental hazards and ensure compliance with evolving safety regulations. While current HSE protocols have made significant strides in improving safety and reducing environmental impact, gaps and areas still require improvement. This paper will examine the existing challenges and propose strategies for optimizing these protocols to enhance environmental safety.

The first objective is to provide a detailed analysis of the industry's current HSE protocols, highlighting their strengths and limitations. By understanding the existing framework, the paper aims to identify where improvements can be made to better protect the environment and ensure worker safety.

The second objective is to explore innovative technologies and practices that can be integrated into HSE protocols. Advances in monitoring systems, data analytics, and automation have the potential to revolutionize how the industry manages risk, providing real-time insights into environmental conditions and enabling quicker responses to potential hazards. The paper will discuss how these technologies can be leveraged to optimize HSE protocols and reduce the environmental footprint of oil and gas operations.

Finally, the paper aims to offer recommendations for improving collaboration between industry stakeholders, regulators, and local communities. Effective HSE protocols require internal compliance, external oversight, and community engagement. By fostering a culture of safety and environmental stewardship, the industry can achieve more sustainable and responsible operations.

2. Key Environmental Hazards in Oil and Gas Production

2.1 Analysis of Common Environmental Hazards

The oil and gas industry is responsible for a range of environmental hazards, with the most prominent being oil spills, greenhouse gas emissions, water contamination, and land degradation. These hazards arise at various stages of production, from extraction to transportation and refining, and each carries its own set of risks and challenges. Oil spills are among the most devastating environmental hazards in the industry. They can occur during offshore drilling, transportation via pipelines or tankers, and storage, often due to equipment failure, human error, or natural disasters (Sojinu & Ejeromedoghene, 2019). The release of crude oil into marine or terrestrial environments can cause immediate and long-term damage, as oil is difficult to clean up and can persist in the environment for years. Spills can smother wildlife, poison aquatic ecosystems, and contaminate soil and water sources, making them one of the most visible and catastrophic hazards in oil and gas operations (Sakib, 2021).

Another major hazard is the emission of greenhouse gases, particularly methane and carbon dioxide, which contribute significantly to climate change. Methane is released during the extraction and transportation of natural gas, and it is a potent greenhouse gas with a much higher global warming potential than carbon dioxide (Fu, Liu, & Sun, 2021). Fugitive methane emissions from leaking infrastructure such as pipelines, wells, and storage facilities are persistent in the industry. In addition to methane, oil and gas operations emit large quantities of carbon dioxide, particularly during the refining and combustion processes. These emissions exacerbate global warming and make the oil and gas sector one of the largest contributors to anthropogenic climate change (Nisbet et al., 2020).

Water contamination is another critical environmental hazard, particularly in areas where hydraulic fracturing (fracking) is used to extract oil and gas from shale formations. Fracking involves injecting water, sand, and chemicals into underground rock formations to release trapped hydrocarbons. This process can contaminate groundwater supplies, as chemicals used in fracking fluids may seep into aquifers. Additionally, oil and gas operations generate significant volumes of wastewater, which, if improperly managed, can pollute surface water and groundwater, threatening drinking water supplies and aquatic ecosystems (Soeder, 2020).

Land degradation is a further consequence of oil and gas production, particularly in remote or ecologically sensitive areas. The construction of infrastructure such as roads, drilling sites, and pipelines can lead to deforestation, soil erosion, and habitat fragmentation. This disruption of natural landscapes can threaten biodiversity, particularly in regions where species are already vulnerable due to environmental pressures (Aung, Fischer, & Buchanan, 2020).

2.2 Impact on Ecosystems and Communities

The environmental hazards associated with oil and gas production have profound effects on ecosystems and the communities that depend on them. Oil spills, for example, can decimate marine ecosystems, killing fish, birds, and marine mammals and destroying the habitats on which they rely. Oil coats the fur and feathers of animals, reducing their insulation properties and leading to hypothermia. It also contaminates the food chain, affecting organisms at every level, from plankton to top predators. The long-term ecological consequences of spills can last decades, with some ecosystems never fully recovering (B. Zhang et al., 2019).

Greenhouse gas emissions from oil and gas operations contribute to global climate change, which has wide-ranging effects on ecosystems and biodiversity. Rising temperatures, melting ice caps, and changing precipitation patterns are all consequences of climate change driven partly by oil and gas sector emissions. These changes disrupt ecosystems, forcing species to migrate, adapt, or face extinction. Coral reefs, for instance, are highly sensitive to rising ocean temperatures and acidification, both of which are exacerbated by carbon dioxide emissions from fossil fuel combustion (Salisu & Zakari, 2022).

Communities, particularly those in close proximity to oil and gas operations, also suffer from the environmental hazards associated with the industry. Oil spills can contaminate water supplies, making them unsafe for drinking, agriculture, or fishing, thereby threatening the livelihoods of local populations. In coastal regions, where fishing is a major source of income and sustenance, oil spills can devastate local economies by depleting fish stocks and damaging tourism. Moreover, exposure to pollutants released during oil and gas production, such as volatile organic compounds (VOCs), sulfur dioxide, and nitrogen oxides, can lead to respiratory problems, cancer, and other health issues in nearby communities (Rom & Pinkerton, 2021).

In regions where fracking is prevalent, communities often face the dual threat of water contamination and seismic activity. Fracking has been linked to the contamination of groundwater supplies with toxic chemicals and increased incidences of earthquakes due to the injection of wastewater into deep underground wells. These environmental hazards can make areas uninhabitable, forcing residents to relocate and further exacerbating social and economic inequalities (Roberts, Bond, & Shipton, 2021).

2.3 Case Studies of Major Incidents

Throughout history, several high-profile incidents in the oil and gas industry have illustrated the severe environmental hazards posed by production activities. One of the most infamous is the Deepwater Horizon oil spill in 2010, which occurred in the Gulf of Mexico. This disaster was the result of an explosion on the Deepwater Horizon drilling rig, which caused a blowout of the well and the release of millions of barrels of oil into the ocean over a period of 87 days. The spill caused extensive damage to marine life, including the death of thousands of birds, fish, and sea turtles, and severely impacted the Gulf's fishing and tourism industries. Even a decade later, the environmental and economic fallout from the spill continues to be felt (Sandifer et al., 2021).

Another notable incident is the Exxon Valdez oil spill in 1989, which occurred when an oil tanker ran aground in Prince William Sound, Alaska, spilling approximately 11 million gallons of crude oil into the surrounding waters. The spill had devastating effects on the local ecosystem, killing hundreds of thousands of seabirds, marine mammals, and fish. The remote location of the spill made cleanup efforts particularly challenging, and the long-term environmental damage was extensive. The Exxon Valdez disaster remains one of the worst oil spills in history and serves as a stark reminder of the potential for catastrophic environmental damage in the oil and gas industry (Prabowo & Bae, 2019).

More recently, in 2021, the Colonial Pipeline ransomware attack led to widespread disruption in the United States, highlighting the vulnerability of oil and gas infrastructure to cyber threats. While the incident did not result in a direct environmental hazard, it underscored the interconnected nature of energy production, infrastructure, and environmental risks. The temporary shutdown of the pipeline caused fuel shortages across the eastern U.S., illustrating how disruptions in the oil and gas sector can have broad and far-reaching consequences for society (Kilovaty, 2022).

3. Existing HSE Protocols and Regulatory Frameworks

3.1 Review of Current HSE Practices and Industry Standards

HSE protocols in the oil and gas industry are designed to protect human health, ensure the safety of operations, and minimize the environmental impact of exploration, production, and transportation activities. Many oil and gas companies have developed internal HSE management systems, typically including risk assessments, incident reporting procedures, and emergency response plans. These systems are often aligned with globally recognized industry standards, such as those outlined by the American Petroleum Institute (API) and the International Association of Oil & Gas Producers (IOGP) (Chandrasegaran, Ghazilla, & Rich, 2020).

One of the key components of HSE protocols is risk assessment, which involves identifying potential hazards, evaluating the likelihood of incidents, and determining their potential impact. This process helps companies implement preventive measures to minimize the risk of accidents such as oil spills, equipment failures, and fires. Additionally, industry standards emphasize continuous monitoring and reporting of environmental performance, which includes tracking greenhouse gas emissions, water usage, and waste generation. This data allows companies to identify trends, evaluate the effectiveness of mitigation strategies, and make improvements as needed (Dehdashti et al., 2020).

Worker safety is another critical focus of HSE protocols, with standards addressing issues such as personal protective equipment (PPE), proper training, and adherence to safety procedures. Companies must also develop emergency response plans to deal with potential incidents like blowouts, explosions, or chemical spills (Wong, Man, & Chan, 2020). These plans often involve coordination with local authorities and environmental agencies to ensure that the response is rapid and effective. However, despite the existence of robust HSE systems, the implementation of these protocols can vary widely between companies and regions. Some companies, particularly major international oil corporations, may have advanced HSE systems, while smaller firms or operations in developing countries may lack the resources or regulatory oversight to implement comprehensive safety measures (Ebekozien, 2022).

3.2 Government Regulations and International Guidelines

In addition to industry standards, government regulations and international guidelines provide a framework for ensuring that oil and gas operations are conducted safely and with minimal environmental harm. Regulatory oversight varies by country, with developed nations typically having more stringent environmental and safety regulations than developing regions. In the United States, for example, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) are responsible for enforcing environmental and worker safety regulations in the oil and gas industry. The Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement (BSEE), an agency of the Department of the Interior, oversees offshore drilling activities to ensure safety and environmental laws compliance (LeVine & Hartsig, 2019).

Similarly, in Europe, the European Union's Seveso Directive aims to prevent major accidents involving dangerous substances, including those used in the oil and gas sector. This directive requires companies to implement safety management systems, conduct risk assessments, and prepare emergency response plans. The UK, a key player in the global oil and gas market, also has a comprehensive regulatory framework, governed by the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) and the Oil and Gas Authority (OGA), which regulate health, safety, and environmental aspects of offshore and onshore oil and gas operations (Laurent, Pey, Gurtel, & Fabiano, 2021).

At the international level, guidelines developed by organizations such as the International Maritime Organization (IMO) and the International Labour Organization (ILO) help set standards for environmental protection and worker safety in oil and gas operations. The IMO's International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships (MARPOL) sets regulations for preventing oil pollution from tankers and other vessels, while the ILO has developed conventions to protect workers in the petroleum industry (Canton, 2021).

The Paris Agreement, adopted in 2015, also significantly shapes the oil and gas industry's environmental responsibilities. Although not legally binding, the agreement commits countries to reducing their greenhouse gas emissions and limiting global temperature rise to well below 2 degrees Celsius. This global commitment has increased pressure on the oil and gas sector to reduce its carbon footprint and transition toward cleaner energy sources (Preston, 2021).

3.3 Gaps and Challenges in Addressing Environmental Hazards

Despite the existence of comprehensive HSE protocols and regulatory frameworks, several gaps and challenges hinder the oil and gas industry's ability to fully address environmental hazards. One of the main issues is the inconsistent application of standards and regulations across different regions. In countries with weak regulatory oversight, environmental hazards are more likely to occur due to insufficient enforcement of safety standards and a lack of resources for monitoring compliance. This disparity creates a situation where oil and gas companies operating in different regions may be subject to vastly different levels of environmental scrutiny (Yang, 2019).

Another major challenge is the complexity of monitoring and mitigating environmental hazards. Oil and gas operations, particularly those involving offshore drilling or hydraulic fracturing, often occur in remote or ecologically sensitive areas, making monitoring environmental impacts in real time difficult. Additionally, while large-scale oil spills receive significant media attention and regulatory scrutiny, smaller, more frequent incidents, such as leaks from pipelines or wellheads, may go unnoticed or unreported, contributing to long-term environmental degradation (Adumene, Khan, Adedigba, Mamudu, & Rosli, 2023).

Climate change is another significant challenge for the oil and gas industry. While HSE protocols are designed to minimize immediate environmental risks, they often fail to address the long-term impact of greenhouse gas emissions from oil and gas production and consumption. Many companies continue to invest in fossil fuel extraction, even as global efforts to combat climate change demand a shift toward renewable energy sources. This creates a tension between short-term economic gains and the need for long-term sustainability (Acheampong & Kemp, 2022).

Moreover, the industry faces challenges in adopting new technologies that could reduce environmental hazards. While advancements in digital monitoring, automation, and leak detection can potentially improve safety and environmental performance, the high cost of implementing these technologies can be a barrier, particularly for smaller companies or operations in low-income regions (Karkkainen, 2019). Finally, some regions' lack of transparency and public accountability poses a significant challenge to addressing environmental hazards. In areas where governments and companies are not required to publicly report incidents, or where information is withheld, it becomes difficult for regulators, communities, and environmental organizations to hold companies accountable for environmental damage.

Greater transparency in reporting incidents, emissions, and environmental performance is essential to improving the industry's environmental track record (S. Zhang, Zhang, Qiao, Li, & Li, 2022).

4. Strategies for Optimizing HSE Protocols

4.1 Technological Advancements in Monitoring and Risk Mitigation

Technological innovation has played a transformative role in optimizing HSE protocols in the oil and gas industry. Advances in digital technology, automation, and data analytics have enabled more effective monitoring of environmental conditions and operational risks. One of the most significant developments is the integration of real-time monitoring systems that track potential hazards, such as gas leaks, pressure anomalies, and equipment malfunctions, allowing companies to take immediate corrective actions. For instance, the use of Internet of Things (IoT) sensors in pipelines and wellheads enables continuous monitoring of critical parameters, such as pressure, temperature, and flow rates (Adegboye, Fung, & Karnik, 2019). These sensors can detect deviations from normal operating conditions and alert operators to potential risks, allowing for rapid intervention to prevent accidents. Additionally, drone technology has revolutionized the ability to inspect and monitor offshore platforms and other hard-to-reach infrastructure. Drones equipped with high-resolution cameras and thermal imaging sensors can perform visual inspections, identify leaks, and assess structural integrity without the need for human intervention, thus reducing safety risks (Mohsan, Khan, Noor, Ullah, & Alsharif, 2022).

Another major technological advancement is the use of predictive analytics powered by artificial intelligence (AI). By analyzing historical data on equipment performance, weather patterns, and operational incidents, AI-driven systems can predict potential equipment failures or environmental risks before they occur. This allows companies to implement preventive maintenance measures and avoid costly accidents. For example, machine learning algorithms can analyze data from seismic activity, drilling operations, and pressure changes to predict the likelihood of a blowout, enabling operators to adjust their drilling techniques accordingly (Li, Herdem, Nathwani, & Wen, 2023).

Furthermore, advancements in automated shutdown systems and fail-safe mechanisms have greatly improved the industry's ability to mitigate risks. These systems can automatically shut down operations when dangerous conditions are detected, preventing accidents from escalating into full-blown environmental disasters. For example, in the event of a pipeline rupture or equipment malfunction, automated systems can isolate the affected area and prevent the release of hazardous substances into the environment.

4.2 Best Practices for Proactive Safety Measures

While technology plays a crucial role in optimizing HSE protocols, proactive safety measures remain fundamental to preventing accidents and minimizing environmental hazards. Adopting best practices that prioritize safety and environmental stewardship is essential for reducing risks across the oil and gas supply chain. One key best practice is the implementation of comprehensive risk assessment and management systems. These systems involve identifying potential hazards, evaluating the likelihood and impact of those hazards, and developing strategies to mitigate them. Regular risk assessments should be conducted at all oil and gas operations stages, from exploration and drilling to transportation and storage. Companies can identify emerging threats by continuously evaluating risks and adapting their safety protocols to address new challenges (Ostrom & Wilhelmsen, 2019).

Another important practice is the standardization of safety training programs for employees and contractors. Ensuring that all personnel are adequately trained in HSE protocols and emergency response procedures is critical for maintaining a safe working environment. Training should be ongoing, with regular drills and simulations to prepare workers for various emergency scenarios, such as oil spills, fires, or equipment failures. Additionally, companies should promote a culture of safety by encouraging employees to report hazards and near-miss incidents without fear of reprisal (Iyelolu, Agu, Idemudia, & Ijomah, 2024).

A third best practice is the implementation of environmental management systems (EMS), which provide a structured approach to managing environmental impacts. An EMS typically includes procedures for monitoring emissions, waste management, resource consumption, and plans for reducing the company's environmental footprint. For example, oil and gas companies can adopt practices such as minimizing flaring, recycling produced water, and reducing greenhouse gas emissions through energy efficiency measures. By continuously improving their environmental performance, companies can reduce the risk of environmental incidents and enhance their reputation as responsible operators (Adebayo, Ikevuje, Kwakye, & Esiri, 2024b; Olajiga, Olu-lawal, Usman, & Ninduwezuor-Ehiobu, 2024).

Moreover, the adoption of transparent reporting systems is essential for promoting accountability and improving HSE outcomes. Companies should regularly report on their environmental performance, including metrics on emissions, spills, and safety incidents. Publicly disclosing this information not only fosters trust with regulators, stakeholders, and the public but also provides an incentive for companies to improve their HSE practices.

4.3 Collaboration Between Industry and Regulators for Improved Protocols

Effective collaboration between the oil and gas industry and regulatory bodies is essential for optimizing HSE protocols and ensuring environmental and safety standards compliance. Given the complex and high-risk nature of oil and gas operations, regulatory oversight plays a critical role in preventing accidents and mitigating environmental harm. However, achieving optimal HSE outcomes requires a cooperative approach in which both industry and regulators work together to establish clear guidelines, share best practices, and address emerging challenges (Adebayo, Ikevuje, Kwakye, & Emuobosa, 2024; Aderamo, Olisakwe, Adebayo, & Esiri, 2024b; Samira, Weldegeorgise, Osundare, Ekpobimi, & Kandekere, 2024).

One key aspect of this collaboration is the development of regulatory frameworks that are both stringent and adaptable. Regulations should be based on the latest scientific and technical knowledge while providing flexibility for companies to adopt innovative solutions to HSE challenges. For instance, regulators can work with industry experts to develop performance-based standards that focus on achieving specific safety and environmental outcomes, rather than prescribing rigid, one-size-fits-all rules. This approach encourages companies to adopt the most effective technologies and practices for their specific operations while ensuring that they meet regulatory requirements (Adebayo, Ikevuje, Kwakye, & Esiri, 2024a; Aderamo, Olisakwe, Adebayo, & Esiri, 2024a).

Another area where collaboration is essential is in the development of emergency response plans. In the event of a major environmental incident, such as an oil spill or explosion, a coordinated response between industry, regulators, and local authorities is critical to minimizing damage. Joint training exercises, simulations, and the sharing of resources can help ensure that all parties are prepared to respond effectively to potential disasters. For example, oil companies and government agencies in the Gulf of Mexico regularly conduct joint oil spill response drills to test their preparedness and coordination in the event of an offshore spill (Ritchie, Gill, & Harrald, 2019).

Moreover, collaborative efforts to improve transparency and data sharing can enhance HSE outcomes across the industry. By sharing information on incidents, near misses, and best practices, companies and regulators can learn from each other's experiences and continuously improve safety protocols. Industry-wide safety organizations, such as the Center for Offshore Safety in the United States, facilitate sharing safety data and lessons learned from past incidents, helping prevent future accidents (Aderamo, Olisakwe, Adebayo, & Esiri; Ekpobimi, Kandekere, & Fasanmade, 2024; Hamdan, Al-Salaymeh, AlHamad, Ikemba, & Ewim, 2023).

Finally, collaboration is crucial in addressing the growing challenge of climate change. As the oil and gas industry faces increasing pressure to reduce its carbon footprint, it is essential to work with regulators to develop strategies for transitioning to cleaner energy sources. Governments can incentivize the adoption of renewable energy technologies and carbon capture solutions, while the industry can play a key role in developing and deploying these innovations. Collaborative initiatives, such as public-private partnerships for carbon capture and storage (CCS) projects, demonstrate how industry and government can work together to address both environmental and safety challenges (Perdrix & Mishchenko, 2022).

5. Conclusion

Throughout the analysis, it has become clear that the environmental risks associated with oil and gas operations, such as spills, emissions, and equipment failures, require robust HSE protocols. These risks profoundly impact ecosystems, human health, and the broader community, and failure to adequately manage them can lead to catastrophic consequences. Current HSE practices have been enhanced by technological advancements, such as real-time monitoring systems and predictive analytics, which allow for earlier detection of hazards and more efficient responses. Additionally, industry best practices, including comprehensive risk management and employee safety training, are critical in minimizing the likelihood of accidents and environmental incidents.

However, there are still gaps and challenges in the industry’s approach to environmental safety. While existing regulatory frameworks set a baseline for safety standards, they are not always flexible enough to accommodate emerging technologies or the unique needs of different operations. Moreover, the lack of comprehensive collaboration

between industry and regulators sometimes impedes the development of optimal HSE practices. Addressing these issues will require concerted efforts to refine and strengthen existing protocols.

Several key recommendations can be made to further optimize HSE protocols in the oil and gas industry. First, expanding the adoption of cutting-edge technologies that facilitate real-time monitoring and risk mitigation is essential. For example, increasing the use of Internet of Things (IoT) devices and drones for monitoring remote operations can significantly improve the industry's ability to detect and address potential hazards early. Additionally, integrating artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning into predictive maintenance systems can help prevent equipment failures and other incidents that might otherwise lead to environmental damage.

Second, oil and gas companies should place greater emphasis on proactive safety measures by fostering a culture of safety that extends across all levels of the organization. This includes providing continuous safety training for workers and promoting an open reporting culture where potential hazards and near-miss incidents are reported without fear of retaliation. Companies should also standardize the implementation of environmental management systems that focus on reducing emissions, waste, and the industry's overall environmental footprint.

Third, collaboration between industry and regulatory bodies must be enhanced. This collaboration should focus on developing more adaptive and performance-based regulatory frameworks that encourage the adoption of innovative safety solutions. Joint emergency response planning and regular simulations involving both industry stakeholders and government agencies are also crucial to ensure preparedness in the event of a major incident. Lastly, oil and gas companies must commit to transparency and data sharing, particularly regarding safety incidents and environmental performance metrics. By sharing data within the industry and with regulators, companies can collectively improve their HSE practices and prevent future incidents.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Acheampong, T., & Kemp, A. G. (2022). Health, safety and environmental (HSE) regulation and outcomes in the offshore oil and gas industry: Performance review of trends in the United Kingdom Continental Shelf. *Safety science, 148*, 105634.

[2] Adebayo, Y. A., Ikevuje, A. H., Kwakye, J. M., & Emuobosa, A. (2024). Corporate social responsibility in oil and gas: Balancing business growth and environmental sustainability.

[3] Adebayo, Y. A., Ikevuje, A. H., Kwakye, J. M., & Esiri, A. E. (2024a). Circular economy practices in the oil and gas industry: A business perspective on sustainable resource management. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews, 20*(3), 267-285.

[4] Adebayo, Y. A., Ikevuje, A. H., Kwakye, J. M., & Esiri, A. E. (2024b). Driving circular economy in project management: Effective stakeholder management for sustainable outcome. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews, 20*(3), 235-245.

[5] Adegboye, M. A., Fung, W.-K., & Karnik, A. (2019). Recent advances in pipeline monitoring and oil leakage detection technologies: Principles and approaches. *Sensors, 19*(11), 2548.

[6] Aderamo, A. T., Olisakwe, H. C., Adebayo, Y. A., & Esiri, A. E. Leveraging AI for financial risk management in oil and gas safety investments.

[7] Aderamo, A. T., Olisakwe, H. C., Adebayo, Y. A., & Esiri, A. E. (2024a). AI-enabled predictive safeguards for offshore oil facilities: Enhancing safety and operational efficiency.

[8] Aderamo, A. T., Olisakwe, H. C., Adebayo, Y. A., & Esiri, A. E. (2024b). AI-powered pandemic response framework for offshore oil platforms: Ensuring safety during global health crises.

[9] Adumene, S., Khan, F., Adedigba, S., Mamudu, A., & Rosli, M. I. (2023). Offshore oil and gas development in remote harsh environments: engineering challenges and research opportunities. *Safety in extreme environments, 5*(1), 17-33.

[10] Albeldawi, M. (2023). Environmental impacts and mitigation measures of offshore oil and gas activities. In *Developments in Petroleum Science* (Vol. 78, pp. 313-352): Elsevier.

[11] Arthur, J. K., Owusu, N. O., & Ahiable, E. D. (2019). *Health, Safety and Environmental Risk Management in Ghana's Upstream Oil and Gas Industry*.

[12] Aung, T. S., Fischer, T. B., & Buchanan, J. (2020). Land use and land cover changes along the China-Myanmar Oil and Gas pipelines–Monitoring infrastructure development in remote conflict-prone regions. *PLoS One, 15*(8), e0237806.

[13] Canton, H. (2021). International Labour Organization—ILO. In *The Europa Directory of International Organizations 2021* (pp. 333-338): Routledge.

[14] Chandrasegaran, D., Ghazilla, R., & Rich, K. (2020). Human factors engineering integration in the offshore O&G industry: A review of current state of practice. *Safety science, 125*, 104627.

[15] Dehdashti, A., Fatemi, F., Jannati, M., Asadi, F., & Kangarloo, M. B. (2020). Applying health, safety, and environmental risk assessment at academic settings. *BMC public health, 20*, 1-7.

[16] Ebekozien, A. (2022). Construction companies' compliance to personal protective equipment on junior staff in Nigeria: issues and solutions. *International journal of building pathology and adaptation, 40*(4), 481-498.

[17] Ekpobimi, H. O., Kandekere, R. C., & Fasanmade, A. A. (2024). Software entrepreneurship in the digital age: Leveraging front-end innovations to drive business growth. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Development, 20*(09).

[18] Ewim, D. R. E., Orikpete, O. F., Scott, T. O., Onyebuchi, C. N., Onukogu, A. O., Uzougbo, C. G., & Onunka, C. (2023). Survey of wastewater issues due to oil spills and pollution in the Niger Delta area of Nigeria: a secondary data analysis. *Bulletin of the National Research Centre, 47*(1), 116.

[19] Fu, J., Liu, Y., & Sun, F. (2021). Identifying and regulating the environmental risks in the development and utilization of natural gas as a low-carbon energy source. *Frontiers in Energy Research, 9*, 638105.

[20] Hamdan, A., Al-Salaymeh, A., AlHamad, I. M., Ikemba, S., & Ewim, D. R. E. (2023). Predicting future global temperature and greenhouse gas emissions via LSTM model. *Sustainable Energy Research, 10*(1), 21.

[21] Hwang, B., Heo, J., Lim, C., & Park, J. (2023). Environmental Implications of Shale Gas Hydraulic Fracturing: A Comprehensive Review on Water Contamination and Seismic Activity in the United States. *Water, 15*(19), 3334.

[22] Iyelolu, T. V., Agu, E. E., Idemudia, C., & Ijomah, T. I. (2024). Driving SME innovation with AI solutions: overcoming adoption barriers and future growth opportunities.

[23] Karkkainen, B. C. (2019). Information as environmental regulation: TRI and performance benchmarking, precursor to a new paradigm? In *Environmental law* (pp. 191-304): Routledge.

[24] Kilovaty, I. (2022). Cybersecuring the pipeline. *Hous. L. Rev., 60*, 605.

[25] Laurent, A., Pey, A., Gurtel, P., & Fabiano, B. (2021). A critical perspective on the implementation of the EU Council Seveso Directives in France, Germany, Italy and Spain. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection, 148*, 47-74.

[26] LeVine, M., & Hartsig, A. (2019). Modernizing Management of Offshore Oil and Gas in Federal Waters. *Envtl. L. Rep. News & Analysis, 49*, 10452.

[27] Li, J., Herdem, M. S., Nathwani, J., & Wen, J. Z. (2023). Methods and applications for Artificial Intelligence, Big Data, Internet of Things, and Blockchain in smart energy management. *Energy and AI, 11*, 100208.

[28] Little, D. I., Sheppard, S. R., & Hulme, D. (2021). A perspective on oil spills: What we should have learned about global warming. *Ocean & Coastal Management, 202*, 105509.

[29] Marhavilas, P. K., & Koulouriotis, D. E. (2021). Risk-acceptance criteria in occupational health and safety risk-assessment—the state-of-the-art through a systematic literature review. *Safety, 7*(4), 77.

[30] Mohsan, S. A. H., Khan, M. A., Noor, F., Ullah, I., & Alsharif, M. H. (2022). Towards the unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs): A comprehensive review. *Drones, 6*(6), 147.

[31] Nisbet, E., Fisher, R., Lowry, D., France, J., Allen, G., Bakkaloglu, S., . . . Fernandez, J. (2020). Methane mitigation: methods to reduce emissions, on the path to the Paris agreement. *Reviews of Geophysics, 58*(1), e2019RG000675.

[32] Olajiga, O. K., Olu-lawal, K. A., Usman, F. O., & Ninduwezuor-Ehiobu, N. (2024). Data analytics in energy corporations: Conceptual framework for strategic business outcomes. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 21*(3), 952-963.

[33] Ostrom, L. T., & Wilhelmsen, C. A. (2019). *Risk assessment: tools, techniques, and their applications*: John Wiley & Sons.

[34] Perdrix, L., & Mishchenko, S. (2022). *What does it take to unlock a Public-Private Partnership for Good? Case study on the deployment of Carbon Capture and Storage in Norway.*

[35] Prabowo, A. R., & Bae, D. M. (2019). Environmental risk of maritime territory subjected to accidental phenomena: Correlation of oil spill and ship grounding in the Exxon Valdez's case. *Results in Engineering, 4*, 100035.

[36] Preston, B. J. (2021). The influence of the Paris agreement on climate litigation: Legal obligations and norms (Part I). *Journal of Environmental Law, 33*(1), 1-32.

[37] Ritchie, L. A., Gill, D. A., & Harrald, J. R. (2019). Systems Are Tested: Emergency Management and Legal Responses to the BP Deepwater Horizon Oil Spill. In *Emergency Management* (pp. 219-237): Routledge.

[38] Roberts, J. J., Bond, C. E., & Shipton, Z. K. (2021). Fracking bad language–hydraulic fracturing and earthquake risks. *Geoscience Communication, 4*(2), 303-327.

[39] Rom, W. N., & Pinkerton, K. E. (2021). Introduction: Consequences of global warming to planetary and human health. *Climate Change and Global Public Health*, 1-33.

[40] Sakib, S. (2021). The impact of oil and gas development on the landscape and surface in Nigeria. *Asian Pacific Journal of Environment and Cancer*.

[41] Salisu, N., & Zakari, J. (2022). Environmental Consequences of Climate Change. *ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGE, 1*.

[42] Samira, Z., Weldegeorgise, Y. W., Osundare, O. S., Ekpobimi, H. O., & Kandekere, R. C. (2024). Comprehensive data security and compliance framework for SMEs. *Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews, 12*(1), 043-055.

[43] Sandifer, P. A., Ferguson, A., Finucane, M. L., Partyka, M., Solo-Gabriele, H. M., Walker, A. H., . . . Yoskowitz, D. (2021). Human health and socioeconomic effects of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico. *Oceanography, 34*(1), 174-191.

[44] Soeder, D. J. (2020). *Fracking and the environment: a scientific assessment of the environmental risks from hydraulic fracturing and fossil fuels*: Springer.

[45] Sojinu, S. O., & Ejeromedoghene, O. (2019). Environmental challenges associated with processing of heavy crude oils. *Processing of Heavy Crude Oils, 241*.

[46] Williams, J. P., Risk, D., Marshall, A., Nickerson, N., Martell, A., Creelman, C., . . . Wach, G. (2019). Methane emissions from abandoned coal and oil and gas developments in New Brunswick and Nova Scotia. *Environmental monitoring and assessment, 191*, 1-12.

[47] Wollin, K.-M., Damm, G., Foth, H., Freyberger, A., Gebel, T., Mangerich, A., . . . Schupp, T. (2020). Critical evaluation of human health risks due to hydraulic fracturing in natural gas and petroleum production. *Archives of Toxicology, 94*, 967-1016.

[48] Wong, T. K. M., Man, S. S., & Chan, A. H. S. (2020). Critical factors for the use or non-use of personal protective equipment amongst construction workers. *Safety science, 126*, 104663.

[49] Yang, Y. (2019). Reforming health, safety, and environmental regulation for offshore operations in China: Risk and resilience approaches? *Sustainability, 11*(9), 2608.

[50] Zhang, B., Matchinski, E. J., Chen, B., Ye, X., Jing, L., & Lee, K. (2019). Marine oil spills—oil pollution, sources and effects. In *World seas: an environmental evaluation* (pp. 391-406): Elsevier.

[51] Zhang, S., Zhang, M.-a., Qiao, Y., Li, X., & Li, S. (2022). Does improvement of environmental information transparency boost firms' green innovation? Evidence from the air quality monitoring and disclosure program in China. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 357*, 131921.