

International Journal of Engineering Research Updates

Journal homepage: https://orionjournals.com/ijeru/

ISSN: 2783-0187 (Online)



(RESEARCH ARTICLE)

Check for updates

Aquifer depths and thickness in Ogba/Egbema/Ndoni local government area of Rivers State, Nigeria from vertical electrical soundings

Peter Ogochuku Eke *, Frankline Dagogo Ibim and Peace Ugechi

Department of Physics, Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

International Journal of Engineering Research Updates, 2022, 03(02), 064-074

Publication history: Received on 01 October 2022; revised on 15 November 2022; accepted on 17 November 2022

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.53430/ijeru.2022.3.2.0054

Abstract

Most parts of the world depend on groundwater for domestic and other uses. Different geophysical methods can be used to exploit these groundwater. In this study aquifer depths and thickness spread in parts of Ogba/Egbema/Ndoni Local Government Area of Rivers State, Nigeria have been determined from 30 vertical electrical sounding survey. An ABEM Terrameter (SAS 300C) was used with Schlumberger array configuration of maximum electrode spread of 500 m. The data were analyzed with an IP2WIN ID software to obtain the strata resistivities, geoelectric layers, aquifer depths and thickness from which the isopach and iso-resistivity maps of the region were obtained. The results show two to four layer formations with resistivity values ranging from 350Ω to 3895Ω . Aquifer depths of between 20 m to 75 m and thickness varying from 17 m to 48 m. The isopach map indicates that regions in the north-central part of the study area have shallower aquifer thickness with an average value of 25 m while in the other parts of the study area, the average value is 41 m. The iso-resistivity contour maps at depth intervals of 50 m, 100 m, 150 m and 200 m reveal the trending of the resistivity values for these depths across the region. The general results indicate that the study area has productive aquifers of reasonable thickness at moderate depths from 20 m as in the other parts of the Niger delta region, therefore portable boreholes can be drilled from this depth.

Keywords: Groundwater; Isopach map; Iso-resistivity map; Geoelectric layers; Aquifer

1 Introduction

Increasing populations and economic developments have resulted in greater need for potable water in urban cities all over the world. Although water covers over 70% of the earth surface, most of it cannot be utilized for domestic purposes [1]. Except from surface waters, precipitation that falls on the ground soaks into the Earth crust and accumulates in rocks and soil layers as fresh water forming groundwater that exist in naturally habitats called aquifers. For several reasons groundwater is the largest reserve of drinkable water in regions where humans live. This makes the exploitation of groundwater common and rampant in most parts of the world. If the extraction of groundwater for a long time exceeds the recharge for extensive areas, overexploitation will occur and depletion of the groundwater sets. This may lead to problems like drying of wells, increased pumping test, land subsidence, sinkhole formation and induced saltwater intrusion for coastal aquifers [2; 3]. It is therefore necessary that good knowledge of groundwater resources in a region and its management is important to avoid these problems.

Groundwater can effectively be managed without these adverse effects to the environment if information on aquifer locations, depths and thickness are known to guide in the drilling of boreholes. Location of aquifer depths, thickness and other characteristics can be obtained from geophysical methods, especially with the electrical method which has been proven to be the most versatile and effective method of determining subsurface features as aquifer characteristics [4; 5; 6].

* Corresponding author: Peter Ogochuku Eke

Department of Physics, Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

Copyright © 2022 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article. This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Liscense 4.0.

Ogba kingdom in Ogba/Egbema/Ndoni Local Government Area of Rivers State, Nigeria, is an urban area with increasing population and economic activities. The area is rich in hydrocarbon and host-community to Total Exploration and Production of Nigeria and Nigerian Agip Oil Company, which are hydrocarbon exploratory companies. It is the central business hub of the Orashi region of Rivers State, Nigeria. There is a continuous influx of associated companies and people into the area and the hydrocarbon exploration in the region has contaminated and polluted the massive surface water bodies in the area [7]. Aside the contamination and pollution of the surface water bodies in the region, some persons in the area still continually depend on surface water for domestic and agricultural activities, but these surface waters always almost dry up during the dry seasons [8]. Thus groundwater has become the major source of portable water in the region and this has resulted in unregulated drilling of boreholes for water supply by individuals without basic knowledge of the subsurface strata and aquifer information.

This work aims at proffering information to assist in effective management of the groundwater resources of Ogba/Egbema/Ndoni area of Rivers State, Nigeria by investigating the subsurface of the region using Schlumberger array method of vertical electrical sounding to obtain some aquifer information of the area as a guide for future exploitation of groundwater in the area.

1.1 Location of the Study Area

Ogba Egbema Ndoni local government Area of Rivers State, Nigeria lies between latitudes 5°10'. 96''N and 5°11'.92''N of the equator and longitudes 6°4'.70''E and 6°41'.01''E of the Greenwich meridian. It has an average elevation of 18 m above the sea level or datum with Omoku town as its capital. The area of study (Fig.1) is within the tropical rainforest belt of Nigeria and is characterized by dense vegetation. The area has a gentle topographic layout which is marked by shallow valleys that often accommodate streams and rivers. However the study area is drained by numerous tributary rivers and seasonal streams which flow towards the creek. The drainage pattern is dendritic which a reflection of lack of structural control.



Figure 1 Study Areas

The geology and geomorphology of the Niger Delta have been described in details by various authors [9]. Its history dates from the Early Paleocene that resulted in the sedimentation build-up of over 10,000 m with three distinct formations identified from top to bottom as the Benin, Agbada and Akata formations.

The Benin formation is composed of over 70% sand/sandstone with shale intercalations thickness of over 2000 m in some regions and contains the aquifers of the region [7; 10].

Agbada Formation consists mainly of sands, sandstones and siltstones of varying thickness up to 4,500 m and constitutes the main hydrocarbon reservoirs in the Niger delta. The base sediment formation before the basement rocks is the Akata formation with thickness of over 3,000 m in some regions of the delta [11].

2 Material and methods

The apparent resistivity obtained from a vertical electrical sounding (VES) survey can be plotted against the electrode spread to obtain the true resistivity of an area [12]. As indicated in Eke and Ekpelu [13] the soil resistivity and the moisture content of pore spaces within a rock or soil salinity, the degree of saturation of pore, clay content, number, size and shape of the joining pores and compaction factor are all related as

Where ρ_e is the resistivity of the rock, ϑ is the porosity, *S* is the fraction of the pores containing water, ρ_w is the resistivity of water; and *a*, *m* and *n* are empirical constants with values of $0.5 \le a \le 2.5$, $1.3 \le m \le 2.5$ and n = 2.

By use of the Schlumberger array [14] we can obtain the apparent resistivity which from interpretation can be used to obtain the approximate true resistivity of a formation. Thirty (30) Schlumberger Vertical Electrical Sounding (VES) surveys were carried out at a number of points fairly distributed over the area and dense enough for the determination of rock property information related to groundwater aquifer delineation. The total traverse length of 500 m (that is 250 m either way) was used at each sounding station. The measurement started with the two potential electrode 0.30 m apart from the mid-point of the spread and the two current electrode at 1.00 m from the mid-point of the spread. The potential electrode were maintained at 0.30 m while current electrodes moved to 2.00 m, 3.00 m and 4.00 m respectively, while keeping the current electrodes constant. This procedure was continued until the whole area was mapped. The maximum electrode spread was $\frac{AB}{2} = 250 \text{ m} \frac{MN}{2} = 25 \text{ m}$ for current and potential electrodes respectively.

3 Results

Table 1 summarizes the field data analysis. It indicates the various geoelectric units, there thickness and depths of occurrences. The VES stations numbered 1 to 30 were located in the various towns listed in Tables 2, 3, and 4.

VES No.	No. of Layer	Thickness (m)	Depth (m)	Resistivity (Ωm)	Curve Type	Elevation (m)	Lat.	Long;	Lithology
1	1	2.00	2.00	1269.99	HA	18	5º13'0.001'	6°42'0''	Laterite
	2	5.50	7.50	824.960					Laterite sand
	3	44.5	52.0	1592.64					Gravelly sand
	4			2740.44					Gravel
2	1	2.50	2.50	367.710	А	18	5º14'4.992''	6°40'45.479'	Top laterite
	2	22.5	25.0	968.160					Coarse sand
	3	-	>25	1849.78					Gravelly sand
3	1	4.00	4.00	525.830	А	17	5º13'0.001''	6º37'59.998''	Top laterite
	2	26.0	30.0	1784.86					Gravelly sand
	3	-	>30	2746.36					Gravel
4	1	4.00	4.00	588.090	AA	19	5º20'42.86''	6º39'25.245''	Top laterite
	2	8.50	12.5	912.020					Gravelly sand
	3	31.5	40.0	2782.47					Gravel
	4	-	>40	3367.49					Pebble
5	1	1.50	1.50	1381.87	HA	18	5º17'39.466''	6º39'8.804''	Laterite
	2	3.50	5.00	835.530					Laterite sand

Table 1 Results from the Modeling for VES Locations 1 -10

	3	36.5	40.0	1661.04					Gravelly soil	
	4	-	>40	2857.75					Gravel	
6	1	2.00	2.00	1350.15	HA	18	5º144'2.077''	6º40'2.036''	Laterite sand	
	2	5.50	7.50	901.260					Gravel	
	3	44.5	50.0	1746.12					Gravelly soil	
	4	-	>50	2578.57					Pebble	
7	1	2.00	2.00	1450.84	HA	19	5º19'53.652''	6º42'9.683''	Laterite sand	
	2	3.00	5.00	943.530					Coarse sand	
	3	27.0	22.0	1743.20					Gravel	
	4	-	>22	319.47					Pebble	
8	1	3.00	3.00	536.030	А	13	5º32'60''	6º34'59.998''	Laterite sand	
	2	27.0	30.0	1203.44					Coarse sand	
	3		>30	2126.78					Gravel	
9	1	2.00	3.00	1276.41	HA	18	5º13'0.001''	6º37'59.98''	Laterite	
	2	12.0	15.0	1005.08					Coarse sand	
	3	28.0	43.0	2085.55					Gravelly soil	
	4	-	>43	2631.35					Gravel	
10	1	3.50	3.00	1195.22	А	17	5º14'5.992''	6º40'45.779''	Laterite sand	
	2	71.5	75.0	1834.52					Gravel	
	3	-	>75	3499.79					Pebble	

Table 2 Results from the Modeling for VES Locations 11 – 20

VES No.	No. of Layer	Thickness (m)	Depth (m)	Resistivity (Ωm)	Curve Type	Elevation (m)	Lat.	Long;	Lithology
11	1	2.00	3.00	1276.41	AA	18	5º15'42.077''	6º40'2.436''	Laterite sand
	2	10.5	15.0	1005.08					Coarse sand
	3	29.5	43.0	2085.55					Gravelly sand
	4		>43	2631.35					Gravel
12	1	2.00	2.00	362.110	А	18	5º14'4.992''	6º40'45.479'	Laterite sand
	2	48.0	50.0	1276.24					Coarse sand
	3	-	>50	1849.78					Gravel
13	1	2.00	2.00	424.380	А	18	5º17'39.804''	6º39'49.998''	Top laterite
	2	28.0	30.0	1055.63					Gravelly sand
	3	-	>30	2109.52					Gravel
14	1	2.00	2.00	349.990	AA	18	5º16'0.001''	6º42'1''	Top laterite
	2	28.0	30.0	1030.83					Coarse sand
	3	22.0	50.0	1746.60					Gravel
	4	-	>50	3895.34					Pebble

15	1	2.00	2.00	1366.96	HA	18	5º17'39.466''	6º39'8.804''	Laterite
	2	3.00	5.00	919.670					Coarse sand
	3	32.0	35.0	1924.53					Gravel
	4	-	>35	3255.34					Pebble
16	1	3.50	3.50	1088.35	HA	17	5º13'0.001"	6º39'49.8''	Laterite
	2	16.5	20.0	1006.11					Coarse sand
	3	33.5	50.0	1739.21					Gravel
	4	-	>50	3181.22					Pebble
17	1	2.50	2.00	419.670	А	18	5º19'53.652''	6º42'9.683''	Laterite sand
	2	27.5	30.0	1232.48					Coarse sand
	3	27.0	22.0	2322.50					Gravel
18	1	5.00	5.00	573.910	А	20	5º27'5''	6º41'52.271''	Laterite sand
	2	35.0	40.0	1922.19					Coarse sand
	3		>40	3273.26					Gravel
19	1	1.80	1.80	1376.37	Н	18	5º27'39.804''	6º39'9.964''	Laterite
	2	3.80	5.00	952.320					Coarse sand
	3	16.8	20.0	1679.93					Gravelly sand
20	1	3.00	3.0	431.650	А	18	5º17'39.884''	6º39'8.864''	Laterite
	2	17.5	20.0	1061.60					Coarse sand
	3	-	>20	1914.95					Gravelly soil

Table 3 Results from the Modeling for VES Locations 21 – 30

VES No.	No. of Layer	Thickness (m)	Depth (m)	Resistivity (Ωm)	Curve Type	Elevation (m)	Lat.	Long;	Lithology
21	1	2.50	2.50	608.120	HA	17	5º15'42.077''	6º40'2.436"	Laterite
	2	10.0	12.5	874.670					Laterite sand
	3	30.0	40.0	2342.25					Gravelly soil
	4	ø	>40	3267.27					Pebble
22	1	2.50	2.50	635.990	AA	15	5º27'1.608''	6º33'33.192''	Lateritic
	2	10.0	12.5	876.920					Gravel
	3	30.0	40.0	3059.49					Gravelly soil
	4	ø	>40	4066.33					Pebble
23	1	2.00	2.00	1657.92	HA	21	5º23'24.8''	6º40'21.44'''	Top laterite
	2	13.0	15.0	959.310					Gravelly sand
	3	27.0	40.0	2172.92					Gravel
	4	-	>40	5004.69					Pebble
24	1	2.00	2.00	1591.57	HA	18	5º18'46.254''	6º43'1.372''	Top laterite

	2	18.0	20.0	1113.02					Coarse sand
	3	32.0	50.0	2351.75					Gravel
	4	œ	>50	3287.62					Pebble
25	1	2.00	2.00	1524.87	HA	20	5º28'18.617''	6º43'44.332''	Laterite
	2	13.0	15.0	1242.87					Coarse sand
	3	37.0	50.0	2397.22					Gravel
	4	œ	>50	3116.76					Pebble
26	1	2.00	2.00	622.21	KHA	18	5º19'50.665'''	6º43'44.332''	Laterite
	2	2.00	4.00	1027.67					Coarse sand
	3	10.5	12.5	887.16					Gravel sand
	4	39.5	50.0	1724.79					Gravel
	5	œ	>50	3539.88					Pebble
27	1	2.00	2.00	405.950	AA	18	5º16'26.973''	6º42'8.308"	Laterite sand
	2	5.00	7.50	1150.21					Coarse sand
	3	45.0	50.0	1656.99					Gravelly soil
	4	œ	>50	2734.17					Gravel
28	1	2.00	2.00	601.590	AA	15	5º21'1.608''	6º33'33.112''	Lateritic sand
	2	13.0	15.0	976.000					Coarse sand
	3	37.0	50.0	1515.17					Gravelly sand
	4	ø	>50	3669.79					Pebble
29	1	1.50	1.50	488,880	AA	20	5º28'59.999''	6º43'59.998''	Laterite
	2	8.50	10.0	755.290					coarse sands
	3	41.5	50.0	1932.76					gravelly sand
	4	œ	>50	3933.06					Pebble
30	1	2.00	2.00	1386.12	НКН	18	5º29'604'	6º33'34.197'	Laterite
	2	13.0	15.0	894.340					Gravel
	3	27.0	40.0	2027.95					Coarse sand
	4	23.0	50.0	866.680					Gravelly sand
	5	œ	>50	3187.22					Pebble

Figure 2 is the isopach map of the region indicating the variation in aquifer thickness within the region.



Figure 2 Isopach Map showing the aquiferous layer thickness of the study area

The iso-resistivity map for various depths is shown in Figures 3 to 6.



Figure 3 Iso-resistivity contour map at AB/2 = 50 m



Figure 4 Iso - resistivity contour map at AB/2 = 100 m



Figure 5 Iso - resistivity contour map at AB/2 = 150 m



Figure 6 Iso - resistivity contour map at AB/2 = 200m

Table 2 shows a summary of the isopach and iso-resistivity at various depths.

Location	Iso AB/2 = 50/m	Iso AB/2 = 100/m	Iso AB/2 = 150/m	Iso AB/2 = 200/m	Aquifer Depth	Aquifer Thickness	Easting Location	Northing Location
	-	Resisti	ivity/Ω		M	eters	UTM	UTM
Erema	366.52	479.1	2879.6	2716.8	50	45	245052.805	577079.856
Ibewa	496.9	1758.4	1932.5	1991.8	25	23	242764.938	579085.469
Akabuka	542.4	6294.1	2237.9	1833.4	30	26	237597.247	591321.470
Omoku	2109.8	7296.2	1870.9	1507.1	40	32	240338.745	591321.470
Ogbidi	4249.4	1729.3	29977.4	3816.9	37	40	239815.881	585697.897
Ogbogu	4092.7	2085.5	4491.5	4166.9	50	45	241430.284	580230.076
Egbeda	4727.5	2562.7	1227.5	3079.3	30	27	245397.985	589790.197
Ndoni	652.1	2636.5	2304.9	1991.8	30	27	232251.809	612181.804
Ogbagi	4092.7	1900.1	3153.9	2566.8	40	28	237658.310	577107.252
Obezimini	4884.3	1648.0	2794.9	4591.9	75	72	242773.739	579116.167
Obite	498.5	2064.7	2295.0	4591.9	75	29	241387.872	582074.095
Itu	498.5	1966.8	1932.2	2004.3	40	48	244612.761	579075.588
Ohalielu	492.2	1966.8	1531.3	2029.3	50	28	239807.564	585697.929
Obiyebe	498.5	1648.0	2295.0	1533.3	30	22	245103.905	582611.120
Egita	4884.3	1648.0	2794.9	2045.9	50	32	242776.729	579091.572
Oboburu	3669.5	479.2	3013.1	4633.6	35	34	241047.768	577094.597
Akabuta	810.4	1758.4	1932.5	3200.2	50	28	237597.247	577107.481

Table 4 Summary of Isopach and Iso-Resistivity Values for Locations 1 to 10

Mgbede	542.4	6294.1	2143.7	1991.3	30	35	248265.507	604641.030
Obigwe	4406.2	1020.9	4377.8	1833.4	40	17	239917.520	604136.381
Ede	2630.2	1143.8	1647.4	3966.9	20	18	239812.810	585700.367
Obukaegi	543.9	6302.4	2143.7	2471.9	20	30	242764.998	579085.161
Idu Osobele	2630.2	7325.4	2298.6	1833.4	40	30	229541.221	603003.765
Obrikom	4257.3	7979.6	2777.3	2746.0	40	27	242088.557	596291.402
Osiakpu	4202.4	1900.1	3505.9	12317.3	50	32	247343.655	587711.836
Ebocha	4854.3	1648.0	2794.9	4591.9	50	37	248371.208	605296.659
Kreigani	592.5	2064.7	5825.6	6200.4	50	39	238903.248	589722.921
Ikiri	812.0	1987.6	2284.5	2029.3	50	45	245332.111	583439.135
Ohiagu	498.4	1648.0	2295.0	2046.0	50	37	229494.400	591939.900
Okuezi	1976.6	1654.3	4195.8	2679.5	50	42	248857.900	606566.260
Idu obisukwu	366.5	479.2	3013.1	3200.2	40	27	229590.640	607552.060

4 Discussions

The survey array was able to obtain depth information up to 75 m below the earth surface as shown in Tables 1, 2 and 3. The results reveal two/three geoelectric layers in most parts of the study area, however in Krigani and Idu Obosikwu locations four geoelectric layers were penetrated. In all, the general lithology from top to bottom are laterites, coarse sands, gravels and pebbles. These sedimentary thicknesses range from 1.5 m to as much as 71.5 m in Obezimini. Most of the lithologies are ideal environment for groundwater reservoirs and flow. The isopach map (Figure 2) indicates that the aquifer thickness in the north-central part of the study area have values of from 16 m to 26 m while in the other parts of the region, it ranges from 26 m to 48 m with maximum aquifer thickness of 72 m in obizimini location. This results reveal that most parts of the region have aquifers close to the surface. For example at Ede, Ohalialu, Akabuta and Obiezimini, the aquifers are close to the surface from depths of 2 m, while at Mbede it is 5 m from the surfaces. At places like Omoku, Obukeagi and Krigani, aquifers can be found at depths of 10 m. From the iso-resistivity maps (Figures 3 to 6) we have a contour of resistivity values at depths of 50 m, 100 m, 150 m and 200 m. The maps reveal regions where the resistivity values coupled with the aquifer thickness can be used to identify points and depths to which boreholes can be drilled to obtain ground water. The importance of this is that within a region boreholes can be drilled to different depths to avoid overexploitation at a particular depth. For example at a place like Obiezimini (Table 2) with aquifer thickness of 72 m, aquifers can be drilled at varying depths of from 2m up to 50 m. results for regions in the study are also shown in the table.

The results from this work general agrees with similar works in some parts of the Niger delta where aquifer depths have been determined as close to the surface as 5 m [10]. It is advised that boreholes in this region should be drilled at least 30 m below the surface to get clean groundwater as oil prospecting is rampant in most parts of the region.

5 Conclusion

This study determined aquifer depths and thickness in Ogba/Egbema/Ndoni in Rivers State, Nigeria, using vertical electrical sounding. The results reveal that the regions have good subsurface aquifers that are productive with aquifer depths in some parts 2 m below the surface and some regions having aquifer thickness as much as 72 m. Portable boreholes can be drilled in the region at depths of 20 m to get good domestic ground water.

Compliance with ethical standards

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledges the Department of Physics, Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Port Harcourt, Nigeria for provision of the equipment used in thin tis study.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Abiola O, Enikanselu PA & Oladapo MI. Groundwater potential and aquifer protective capacity of overburden units in Ado-Ekiti, southwestern Nigeria. International journal of physical science, 2009, 4(3): 120-132.
- [2] Ayotte JD, Szabo Z, Focazio MJ and Eberts SM. Effects of human-induced alteration of low on concentrations of naturally occurring trace elements of water supply wells. Applied geochemistry, 2011, 26(5: 747-762.
- [3] Zhang, H, Yu J, Du C, Xia J and Wang X. Accessing risks from groundwater exploration and utilization: case study of the Shanghai megacity, China. Water 2019, 2019, 11(9): 1775.
- [4] Mohamadem MII and Ehab D. Application of electrical resistivity for groundwater exploration in Wadi Rahaba, Shalateen, Egypt. NRAIG Journal of astronomy and geophysics, 2017, 6(1): 201-209.
- [5] Ibim DF, Womuru, EN and Nwika B. Characterization and groundwater potential of the coastal aquifer in Kula and environs, Rivers State, Southern Nigeria, using geophysical technique. International Journal of engineering science and advanced technology, 2020, 8(2): 43-51
- [6] Ewusi A, Seidu J and Ansah E. Efficacy of Geophysical Techniques for Groundwater Exploration in the Volta Basin, Northern Region of Ghana, Ghana mining journal, 2020, 20(1): 10 - 19.
- [7] Omosivie M and Benson, EE. The quality of public sources of drinking water in oil-bearing communities in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria. Journal of chemical engineering, 2019, 25(12): 11-17.
- [8] Tamunobereton ari, I and Briggs-Kamara MA. The impact of municipal solid waste landfill on the environmental and public health in Port Harcourt and its environs, Rivers State, Nigeria. Annual Review of Materials Research Journal, 2012, 34(12): 38-46.
- [9] Eke PO, Okeke FN. Identification of hydrocarbon regions in southern Niger Delta Basin of Nigeria from potential field data. International journal of scientific & technology research, 2016, 5(11): 96-99.
- [10] Etu-Efeotor JO and Akpokoje EG. Aquifer systems of the Niger Delta. Journal of mining and geology, 1990, 26(2): 279-284.
- [11] Eke PO, Okeke FN and Ezema PO. Improving the geological understanding of the Niger Delta Basin of Nigeria using airborne gravity data. International journal of geography and geology, 2016, 5(5): 97-103.
- [12] Eke PO and Life-George F. Models for gravity data interpretation. Journal of scientific and engineering research, 2019, 6(10): 110-115.
- [13] Eke PO and Ekpelu G. Detection of shallow aquifers using vertical electrical sounding in Abua Town, Rivers State, Nigeria. Asian Journal of basic science and research, 2021, 3(2): 95-101.
- [14] Reynolds JM. An Introduction to Applied and Environmental Geophysics. John Wiley and Sons, New York, 2011.